## 1. Vision, Mission and Programme Educational Objectives (100)

1.1. Vision and Mission (5)
1.1.1. State the Vision and Mission of the institute and department (1)
(List and articulate the vision and mission statements of the institute and department)

## Vision of the University

To become a Center of Excellence comparable to the best in the world for producing professionals who shall be leaders in technology innovation, entrepreneurship and management.

## Mission of the University

- To develop as a benchmark University in emerging technologies;
- To provide state of the art teaching learning process and R\&D environment; and
- To harness human capital for sustainable competitive edge and social relevance.


## Vision of the Department

To become a Center of Excellence in the computer sciences and information technology discipline with a strong research and teaching environment that adapts swiftly to the challenges of the $21^{\text {st }}$ century.

## Mission of the Department

M1. To provide qualitative education and generate new knowledge by engaging in cutting-edge research and by offering state-of-the-art undergraduate, postgraduate and doctoral programmes, leading to careers as Computer and IT professionals in the widely diversified domains of industry, government and academia.

M2. To promote a teaching and learning process that yields advancements in state-of-the-art in computer science and information technology, resulting in integration of research results and innovations into other scientific disciplines leading to new technologies and products.

M3. To harness human capital for sustainable competitive edge and social relevance by inculcating the philosophy of continuous learning and innovation in Computer Science and Information Technology.
1.1.2. Indicate how and where the Vision and Mission are published and disseminated (2)
(Describe in which media (e.g. websites, curricula books) the vision and mission are published and how these are disseminated among stakeholders)

- University website: www.juit.ac.in
- Departmental page on the university website: http://www.juit.ac.in/computer-science-engineering-and-informationtechnology.
- Disseminated during student orientation programme.
- They are also prominently displayed on the departmental notice boards.
1.1.3. Mention the process for defining Vision and Mission of the department (2)
(Articulate the process involved in defining the vision and mission of the department from the vision and mission of the institute.)
- The HOD with the active participation of faculty members and based on the continuous feedback from stakeholders develops the vision and mission statement of the department in alignment with Vision and Mission of the University.
- These statements are discussed further among faculty members before finalization.
- The new vision and mission statements are sent to the Board of Studies of the department for approval.
- Finally the Vision and Mission are approved by the Academic Council and the Governing Council.


### 1.2. Programme Educational Objectives (15)

1.2.1. Describe the Programme Educational Objectives (PEOs) (2)
(List and articulate the programme educational objectives of the programme under accreditation)

## PEO-1:

To provide student graduates with a solid foundation in mathematical, scientific and engineering fundamentals required to develop problem solving ability.

PEO-2:
To prepare student graduates for a successful career with effective communication skills, teamwork skills and work with values that meet the diversified needs of industry, academia and research.

## PEO-3:

To train students in comprehending, analyzing, designing and creating novel products and technologies that provide solution frameworks to real world problems.

## PEO-4:

To promote awareness among student graduates towards issues of social relevance and introduce them to professional ethics and practice.

## PEO-5:

To inculcate in student graduates the ability to gain multidisciplinary knowledge through projects and industrial training, providing a sustainable competitive edge in R\&D and meeting industry needs.

## PEO-6:

To develop self-learning ability in graduates by inculcating the philosophy to continuously learn, innovate and contribute to creation of new knowledge for the benefit of the society at large.

## PEO-7:

To inculcate in graduates the qualities of leadership for technology innovation and entrepreneurship.
1.2.2. State how and where the PEOs are published and disseminated (2)
(Describe in which media (e.g. websites, curricula books) the PEOs are published and how these are disseminated among stakeholders)

- University website: www.juit.ac.in
- Departmental page on the university website: http://www.juit.ac.in/computer-science-engineering-and-informationtechnology.
- Disseminated during student orientation programme.
- They are also prominently displayed on the departmental notice boards.
1.2.3. List the stakeholders of the programme (1)
(List stakeholders of the programme under consideration for accreditation and articulate their relevance)

1. Current and Prospective Students
2. Parents
3. Employees including ex- faculty and staff
4. Alumni
5. Faculty
6. Employers
7. Management
1.2.4. State the process for establishing the PEOs (5)
(Describe the process that periodically documents and demonstrates that the PEOs are based on the needs of the programme's various stakeholders.)
Our process for establishing and revising Program Educational Objectives (PEOs) is depicted in figure 1 below. Alumni inputs are obtained through extensive alumni surveys with follow-up email and telephone calls by the Department HOD and associated faculty.

Student input to our educational objectives is obtained in a number of traditional ways, including presentations at seminars, course and program surveys, and through focus groups conducted with graduating seniors by the Department HOD. This feedback is condensed and presented to faculty at the final faculty meeting. Students also participate in a course evaluation process at the end of each course.


Fig 1: The process for establishing the PEOs
1.2.5. Establish consistency of the PEOs with the Mission of the institute (5)
(Describe how the Programme Educational Objectives are consistent with the Mission of the department.)
The table-1 below shows the correlation of PEOs with the departmental Missions. It indicates the correlation obtained is in the range of $86 \%$ to $100 \%$.

There are three cornerstones of our department mission that are aligned with the PEOs namely, problem solving ability, proficient communication skills, provide solution frameworks, social responsibility, professional ethics, creating multi-disciplinary knowledge, selflearning ability and inculcating the qualities of leadership for technology innovation and entrepreneurship.

The consistency of each PEO's with the mission of department has been described in following paragraphs:
Our graduates are expected to master fundamentals so that they acquire proficiency in working across the breadth of engineering disciplines.

Problem solving ability imparted through a solid foundation in mathematical, scientific and engineering fundamentals is the one of the aspects of our department's program educational objectives and is implemented in order to facilitate our engineers' analytical skills. Our department will achieve this through the maintenance of statistics on the types of job functions our graduates are performing, their promotions and advancements, and their career paths from supporting to lead engineers.
Proficient communication is a value of JUIT's IT programme. Our goal is for our graduates to gain effective communication skills in oral, written and electronic media. We achieve this through the various HSS courses and group activities in every semester that focus on this aspect.

Leadership development is another objective of our program. We seek to groom our graduates so that they will be able to demonstrate teamwork and leadership skills that will allow them to participate effectively in a team environment. We achieve this by involving student participation in management of International conferences, seminars and workshops conducted by the department regularly. Students are also encouraged to participate in the management of various university professional, cultural, sports and other tech fests.
Professional preparation leading to capability-building for providing solution frameworks to real world problems is also an important institutional objective. JUIT is becoming leader in producing practicing professionals, both at the undergraduate and graduate level. Our undergraduate program has its roots as a technology program. At the graduate level, JUIT is a comprehensive university that offers five professional degrees. Our undergraduate program objectives emphasize the development of skills in computer programming, networking, software design and oral and written communication etc. that have a strong correlation with professional success.

Social responsibility is our final PEO. Our graduates will contribute to larger community goals through commitments to socialenvironmental awareness, entrepreneurship, and economic development. Our strategy to achieve this is to encourage students to take up number of projects or activities involving environmental issues, green computing, societal issues, community service and other related topics.
Finally, the ability of our graduates to compete in this globalized and competitive world, gaining multi-disciplinary knowledge, promoting self-learning ability and inculcating the qualities of leadership for technology innovation and entrepreneurship to create employment forms the backbone of our IT programme success to continuously strive for being in the forefront in these.

| PEOs | Mission |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | M1 | M2 | M3 | Weightage |
| PEO-1 | H | M | H | $89 \%$ |
| PEO-2 | H | M | H | $89 \%$ |
| PEO-3 | H | H | M | $100 \%$ |
| PEO-4 | H | H | M | $89 \%$ |
| PEO-5 | H | H | M | $89 \%$ |
| PEO-6 | H | H | H | $89 \%$ |
| PEO-7 | M | $90 \%$ | $86 \%$ |  |
| Weightage | $95 \%$ |  |  |  |

Table-1: PEO and Mission Correlation

### 1.3. Achievment of Programme Educational Objectives (30)

1.3.1. Justify the academic factors involved in achievement of the PEOs (15)
(Describe the broad curricular components that contribute towards the attainment of the Programme Educational Objectives.)

The curriculum consists of Mathematics, Science, Programming, Humanities, Professional Core and Professional Electives as per the ratios given in the table- 2 below. The correlation between these academic components with the PEOs has been given in the Table- 2 below:

| S. No. | Description | $\%$ of credits | No. Of Credits | PEO |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | PEO-1 | PEO-2 | PEO-3 | PEO-4 | PEO-5 | PEO-6 | PEO-7 | Weightage |
| 1 | Mathematics | 8.2 | 16 | H | H | H | M | H | H | M | 90\% |
| 2 | Science | 7.2 | 14 | H | M | H | H | M | H | H | 90\% |
| 3 | Computing (common programming) | 6.2 | 12 | H | H | H | M | H | M | H | 90\% |
| 4 | Humanities | 12.3 | 24 | M | H | H | H | M | H | H | 90\% |
| 5 | Professional Core | 56.9 | 111 | H | M | H | M | H | H | H | 90\% |
| 6 | Professional Elective | 9.2 | 18 | H | M | H | M | H | H | M | 86\% |
|  | Weightage |  |  | 94\% | 83\% | 100\% | 89\% | 89\% | 94\% | 89\% |  |

Table-2: Correlation between academic factors and PEOs

In addition to the curriculum, we focus on the following academic factors to achieve the PEOs:

- Conducting the various academic activities like technical festival, quizzes, and seminars to demonstrate student’s technical and competitive skills.
- Organising international conferences by the department. Students participate as members of various organising committees. These international conference provide a good platform to interact with the various internationally renowned experts, researchers and keynotes.
- The department organises two IEEE International conferences, one every alternate year on Parallel Distributed and Grid Computing (PDGC) and the other on Image Information Processing(ICIIP). Conference links of recent events are given below:
http://www.juit.ac.in/iciip_2013/
http://juit.ac.in/pdgc-2014/
- Various career oriented workshops are organised by the department. Some of these are in collaboration with Indo-American society IUCEE.
- Students are motivated to write research reports of their final year project work.
- The faculty is involved in doing quality research. Most of our research articles are SCOPUS indexed.
- University has ranked 55 in SCOPUS international ranking in Indian university, which reflect the quality research work by our faculty members.
- Our department is a resource centre for spoken tutorial started by IIT Bombay to enhance the professional competences. The project is sponsored by MHRD, Government of India.
1.3.2. Explain how administrative system helps in ensuring the achievement of the PEOs (15)
(Describe the committees and their functions, working process and related regulations.)

The following committees provide inputs towards achievement of the PEOs:
> Board of Studies: The BOS ensures the relevance of the curriculum and syllabi with the mission and PEOs.
> Departmental Advisory Committee
This committee consists of the following individual positions manned by faculty members that handle specific tasks:

- Coordinator, B. Tech. Programme (HOD)
- Coordinator, M. Tech. Programme
- Coordinator, PhD programme
- All Professors and Associate Professors
- Project In-charge
- Placement activities In-charge(s)
1.4. Assessment of the achievement of Programme Educational Objectives (40)
1.4.1. Indicate tools and processes used in assessment of the achievement of the PEOs (25)

Describe the assessment process that periodically documents and demonstrates the degree to which the Programme Educational Objectives are attained. (10)
Include information on: (15)
a) A listing and description of the assessment processes used to gather the data upon which the evaluation of each programme educational objective is based. Examples of data collection processes may include, but are not limited to, employer surveys, graduate surveys, focus groups, industrial advisory committee meetings, or other processes that are relevant and appropriate to the programme;
b) The frequency with which these assessment processes are carried out.

| Type of Assessment Tool | Assessment Tool | Assessment Criteria | Data Collection Frequency | Responsible <br> Entity | Mapped PEO |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Direct | Course performance | Number of Students Passed | Once every semester | Result processing(RP) unit of the institute | PEO-1, PEO-2, PEO-3, PEO-4, PEO-5, PEO-6, PEO-7 |
| Indirect | Placement Record | Number of Students Placed | Once every year | Training and Placement Office of the institute | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PEO-1, PEO-2, } \\ & \text { PEO-4, PEO-6 } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | Higher Studies Record | Number of Students opted for higher studies | Once every year | Department | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PEO-1, PEO-2, } \\ & \text { PEO-3, PEO-5 } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | GATE Score | Number of students with valid GATE score | Once every year | Department | PEO-1, PEO-3, PEO-4, PEO-7 |
|  | Alumni Survey | Level of achievement | Once every year | Department | PEO-1, PEO-2, PEO-3, PEO-4, PEO-5, PEO-6, PEO-7 |

Table-3: Tools and processes used in assessment of the achievement of the PEOs
1.4.2. Provide the evidences for the achievement of the PEOs (15)
a) The expected level of attainment for each of the program educational objectives;
b) Summaries of the results of the evaluation processes and an analysis illustrating the extent to which each of the programme educational objectives is being attained; and
c) How the results are documented and maintained.

| Program <br> Educational <br> Objectives | Activities |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PEO-1 | H | M | H | H | H | M | 89\% |
| PEO-2 | H | H | M | H | H | M | 89\% |
| PEO-3 | M | H | M | H | H | H | 89\% |
| PEO-4 | H | H | M | M | M | H | 83\% |
| PEO-5 | M | M | H | H | M | H | 83\% |
| PEO-6 | H | H | M | L | H | H | 83\% |
| PEO-7 | H | H | H | M | M | M | 83\% |
| Weightage | 90\% | 90\% | 81\% | 81\% | 86\% | 86\% |  |

Table-4: Evidences for the achievement of the PEOs

Following activities are done to achieve the PEO's namely, problem solving ability, proficient communication skills, provide solution frameworks, social responsibility, professional ethics, creating multi-disciplinary knowledge, self-learning ability and inculcating the qualities of leadership for technology innovation and entrepreneurship.

## 1. Project Assessments:

The project spans over both the semesters of the final year with particular emphasis on application of integrated leaning from the previously completed first, second, third years and the current running final year. This includes the involvement of each and every PEOs. The project report is submitted on successful completion of the project.

## 2. Participation in national software contest:

Our students regularly participate in various national level software competitions like TGMC conducted by IBM and competitions conducted by various colleges/universities. These activities help the students to achieve leadership, versatility and engagement.

## 3. Technical and Social Club:

JYC: A students club which has following committees that handles all technical and social activities.

- Cultural Club
- Murious
- Techfest
- Lefeistus

All these above listed activities cultivate ethics and leadership into the students.

## 4. Carrier Planning:

- Foreign Language: German and French language skills are imparted by external guest faculty for global placement opportunities.
-Coaching for GATE and CAT: To promote higher studies and better carrier avenues specialized coaching for GATE and CAT are provided.
Programme Evaluation Objective diversification is achieved through above listed activities.


## 5. Skill Match with industry requirements:

As per the requirements of the industry for placements industry oriented specific course in advance programming skills, specific vendor product based skills are imparted. This helps to enhance the technical skills of the student to compete outside world.

## 6. Realigning focus on placements:

A conscious effort is being made by the university in increasing the proportion of hiring of our student by core product companies rather than service Provider Company. Efforts are made to provide placements in core companies to achieve the PEOs.
1.5. Indicate how the PEOs have been redefined in the past (10)
(Articulate with rationale how the results of the evaluation of PEOs have been used to review/redefine the PEOs)
The process of PEOs redefining is shown in figure-2 below:


Fig. 2: Process of PEOs redefining

## 2. Programme Outcomes (225)

2.1. Definition and Validation of Course Outcomes and Programme Outcomes (30)
2.1.1. List the Course Outcomes (COs) and Programme Outcomes (POs) (2)
(List the course outcomes of the courses in programme curriculum and programme outcomes of the programme under accreditation)

PO-1: Ability to acquire and apply knowledge of science and engineering fundamentals in problem solving.
PO-2: Acquire in-depth technical competence in a specific information technology discipline.
PO-3: Ability to undertake problem identification, formulation and providing optimum solution .
PO-4: Ability to utilize systems approach to design and evaluate operational performance.
PO-5: Understanding of the principles of inter-disciplinary domains for sustainable development.
PO-6: Understanding of professional \& ethical responsibilities and commitment to them.
PO-7: Ability to communicate effectively, not only with engineers but also with the community at large.
PO-8: Ability to function effectively as an individual and in a group with the capacity to be a team leader.
PO-9:Understanding of the social, cultural, global and environmental responsibilities as a professional engineer.
PO-10: Recognizing the need to undertake life-long learning, and possess/acquire the capacity to do so.
List of Course Outcomes B. Tech. IT: Appendix-A
2.1.2. State how and where the POs are published and disseminated (3)
(Describe in which media (e.g. websites, curricula books) the POs are published and how these are disseminated among stakeholders)

- On the departmental pages of the university website and can be accessed through:
- http://www.juit.ac.in/computer-science-engineering-and-information-technology .
- Disseminated during student orientation programme.
- They are also prominently displayed on the departmental notice boards.
- Departmental Seminar and Laboratories


### 2.1.3. Indicate processes employed for defining of the POs (5)

(Describe the process that periodically documents and demonstrates that the POs are defined in alignment with the graduate attributes prescribed by the NBA.)


Figure-3: Process for Defining POs
$>$ Board of Studies: The BOS ensures the relevance of the curriculum and syllabi with the mission and PEOs.

## > Departmental Advisory Committee

This committee consists of the following individual positions manned by faculty members that handle specific tasks:

- Coordinator, B. Tech. Programme (HOD)
- Coordinator, M. Tech. Programme
- Coordinator, PhD programme
- All Professors and Associate Professors
- Project In-charge
- Placement activities In-charge(s)
2.1.4. Indicate how the defined POs are aligned to the Graduate Attributes prescribed by the NBA (10)
(Indicate how the POs defined for the programme are aligned with the Graduate Attributes of NBA as articulated in accreditation manual.)

The correlation of Graduate Attributes with POs is given in the Table -5 below:

| GA | PO-1 | PO-2 | PO-3 | PO-4 | PO-5 | PO-6 | PO-7 | PO-8 | PO-9 | PO-10 | Weightage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Engineering Knowledge | H | H | H | M | H | H | H | H | H | M | 93\% |
| Problem Analysis | H | H | H | M | M | H | M | H | M | H | 87\% |
| Design / <br> Development of Solution | H | H | H | H | H | H | H | M | M | M | 90\% |
| Investigating Complex problems | H | H | H | H | M | M | M | H | M | H | 87\% |
| Modern Tool Usage | H | H | H | M | M | H | H | H | M | H | 83\% |
| Engineer and Society | M | M | M | H | H | M | H | H | H | H | 87\% |
| Environment and Sustainability | H | M | M | M | H | H | L | H | M | M | 77\% |
| Ethics | H | M | M | M | H | M | H | M | M | H | 83\% |
| Individual and team Work | M | M | M | H | M | H | H | M | M | M | 83\% |
| Communication | H | M | M | H | M | H | L | H | H | H | 80\% |
| Project Mgmt and Finance | M | M | M | H | M | H | M | M | H | M | 83\% |
| Life Long Learning | M | M | M | M | H | H | M | H | H | H | 83\% |



Table-5: Correlation between POs and Graduate Attributes

Graduates will be able to demonstrate command of a significant body of knowledge of sufficient depth, The PO's are defined in such a way so that it demonstrates following:
o Graduates will be able to communicate effectively
o Graduates will be able to demonstrate a global perspective and intercultural competence in their professional lives.
o Graduates will have developed competencies in Computer Science and Engineering
o Graduates will be prepared for lifelong learning in pursuit of personal and professional development.
o Graduates will be effective problem-solvers, capable of applying logical, critical and creative thinking to a range of problems.
o Graduates will be encouraged to ethical action and social responsibility
o Graduates will be able to work collaboratively to achieve common goals
2.1.5. Establish the correlation between the POs and the PEOs (10)
(Explain how the defined POs of the program correlate with the PEOs)

| Program Educational Objectives | Program Outcomes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | PO-1 | PO-2 | PO-3 | PO-4 | PO-5 | PO-6 | PO-7 | PO-8 | PO-9 | PO-10 | Weightage |
| PEO-1 | M | M | M | H | H | H | H | H | M | M | 87\% |
| PEO-2 | M | M | M | H | H | H | H | H | H | H | 90\% |
| PEO-3 | H | H | H | H | M | H | M | M | M | M | 87\% |
| PEO-4 | H | H | H | H | M | M | M | H | M | H | 87\% |
| PEO-5 | H | H | H | H | M | M | H | H | M | H | 86\% |
| PEO-6 | H | H | H | H | H | H | H | H | M | M | 91\% |
| PEO-7 | M | M | M | H | H | M | H | M | H | H | 80\% |
| Weightage | 86\% | 86\% | 86\% | 100\% | 86\% | 86\% | 90\% | 81 | 81\% | 87\% |  |

Table-6: Correlation between PEOs with POs



## Table-7: Correlation of Academic factors with POs and PEOs

- The POs defined take into consideration the educational objectives in terms of the student's overall development.
- Students are being prepared for a future that is largely unknown.
- Changes in knowledge and professional practice are both occurring at a rapid rate so students need to know how to find and manage information to continue to learn and to satisfy employers' needs.
- Employers hold that disciplinary expertise is only one of a much larger set of components that determine the success of a new graduate in the workplace.
- Governments have expectations that universities will become more cost-effective by focusing on outputs of university education, who are ready to participate in the workplace.
2.2. Attainment of Programme Outcomes (40)
2.2.1. Illustrate how course outcomes contribute to the POs (10)
(Provide the correlation between the course outcomes and the programme outcomes. The strength of the correlation may also be indicated)

| Course outcomes ( Web Application Engineering) | Level of Attainment | PO-1 | PO-2 | PO-3 | PO-4 | PO-5 | PO-6 | PO-7 | PO-8 | PO-9 | PO-10 | Weightage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. To review and understand the software Process | Familiarity | H | M | M | M | M | H | H | M | H | M | 81\% |
| 2. To emphasize improvement in software Quality | Familiarity | H | H | H | M | H | H | H | H | M | M | 88\% |
| 3. To practice software reuse and adoption of design patterns. | Computational skills | H | H | H | M | M | H | M | H | M | H | 85\% |
| 4. To understand test driven software development the agile way. | Technical skills | H | H | H | M | M | M | H | M | H | H | 81\% |
| 5.To gain hands on proficiency in Software Application frameworks | Technical skills | H | H | H | M | H | M | M | M | H | M | 81\% |
| 6. To gain practical experience in Alternate methodologies like AOP, SOA. | Technical skills | H | H | H | H | M | H | H | M | M | H | 89\% |
| 7. To gain practical experience in implementing web enterprise systems. | Assessment | H | H | H | H | M | H | H | M | M | L | 89\% |
| 8. To acquire the knowledge of various distributed standards used in web enterprise architecture. | Assessment | H | H | H | M | M | H | H | H | H | H | 89\% |
| 9. To explain configuration management using industry tools. | Assessment | H | H | H | H | H | H | H | H | M | L | 93\% |


| 10. To explore Case Studies and technical papers on Professional software development tools and techniques for web applications. | Assessment | H | H | M | M | H | H | H | H | H | H | 89\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Weightage |  | 100\% | 96\% | 96\% | 80\% | 80\% | 89\% | 93\% | 81\% | 80\% |  |  |

Table-8: Sample PO CO Mapping for Web Application Engineering Course ( PO, CO mapping of all courses are given at Appendix-B)
The Information Technology curriculum encompasses courses from other disciplines such as Mathematics, Physics, Electronics and general and specialized IT related courses related to technical and professional aspects as stated in IEEE / ACM CC 2005 and IT 2008 curricula. The curriculum is designed to cover all program outcomes in order to achieve the POs. The courses of the curriculum collectively provide the means by which the students obtain the necessary background knowledge needed to ensure that the educational objectives are achieved. Each course has its learning objectives that are stated in its syllabus. To keep track of how and where programme outcomes are addressed in the curriculum, a mapping between the curriculum and programme outcome is made.
2.2.2. Explain how modes of delivery of courses help in attainment of the POs (10)
(Describe the different course delivery methods/modes (e.g. lecture interspersed with discussion, asynchronous mode of interaction, group discussion, project etc.) used to deliver the courses and justify the effectiveness of these methods for the attainment of the POs. This may be further justified using the indirect assessment methods such as course-end surveys.)

Following are the Course Delivery Methods used in our department:

- Lectures
- Class presentations
- Tutorials
- Lab experimental work
- Participation in experiential exercises
- Role playing and Situational simulations
- Written Assignments
- eLearning: identifying online resources for self-learning
- Case Studies / White papers / Technical reports
- Topic specific research paper discussions

| Course Delivery Methods | Attainment of POs | Justification |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - Lectures <br> - eLearning <br> - Tutorials <br> - Topic specific research paper discussions | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PO-1, PO-2, PO-3, PO-4, PO-5, PO-6, } \\ & \text { PO-7, PO-8, PO-9, PO-10 } \end{aligned}$ | - Information or teach students about a particular subject. <br> - Lectures are used to convey critical information, history, background, theories and equations. <br> - Lectures are used to relate engineering practice with ethical issues. <br> - Lectures are also used to expose the students to contemporary issues and the need for life-long learning in the appropriate societal context. |
| - Presentations <br> - Role playing and Situational simulations <br> - Case Studies / White papers / Technical reports | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PO-4, PO-5, PO-6, PO-7, PO-8, PO-9, } \\ & \text { PO-10 } \end{aligned}$ | - Presentations are given to illustrate ideas and concepts in intricate graphics form. <br> - Presentations give information with data relating to an issue. <br> - Effectively communicate the working of actual engineering solutions and their impact. |


| Experimental Laboratory Work | PO-1, PO-2, PO-4, PO-5, PO-6, PO7, <br> PO-9, PO-10 | •Laboratory work demonstrates how theory can be <br> verified by experiments through interpretation of <br> results. <br> Experiments are normally done in groups so <br> students learn to work in teams. <br> Group Tasks (Projects)PO-1, PO-2, PO-3, PO-4, PO-5, PO-6, <br> PO-7, PO-8, PO-9, PO-10 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |

2.2.3. Indicate how assessment tools used to assess the impact of delivery of course/course content contribute towards the attainment of course outcomes/programme outcomes (10)
(Describe different types of course assessment and evaluation methods (both direct and indirect) in practice and their relevance towards the attainment of POs.)

| Assessment Method | Course assessment \& Evaluation Method | Relevance to the Attainment of POs with mapping | Explanation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Direct | University <br> Examination (Mid and End Sem.) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PO-1, PO-2, PO-3, PO-4, } \\ & \text { PO-6, PO-7, PO-8, PO-9, } \\ & \text { PO-10 } \end{aligned}$ | Same as tests but with a much larger scope and covering wider syllabus. |
| Direct | Assignments | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PO-1, PO-2, PO-3, PO-4, PO- } \\ & \text { 6, PO-9, PO-10 } \end{aligned}$ | Assignments carry a bigger problem nearer to reality that cannot be done in the classroom. <br> Such problems normally require the knowledge of mathematics, science and engineering and all other related aspects. |


| Direct | Presentations | PO-1, PO-2, PO-6, PO-7, <br> PO-8, PO-9, PO-10 | Since presentations carry questions and answers that <br> usually lead to wider discussions, they give to the <br> students ideas related to contemporary issues, and a <br> realization that learning is a continuous process. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Direct | Quiz-Tests | PO-1, PO-2, PO-3, PO-4, PO- <br> 6, PO-7, | Tests basically test the understanding and use of scientific and <br> engineering techniques for problem solving. |
| Direct | Project based Learning | PO-1, PO-2, PO-3, PO-4, PO- <br> 6, PO-7, PO-8, PO-9, PO-10 | Here students apply knowledge related to a topic, develop a <br> project and present it. |

Table-9: Course assessment and evaluation methods and contribution to PO

All the theory, practical and project courses are directly related to one or more than one POs. Performance in various courses reflects the extent of achievement of POs.
The undergraduate program of the department is based on continuous evaluation system and credit based. Evaluation is conducted by the subject teacher throughout the semester. Each subject contains three main components for evaluation:

- Course Work and Teacher Assessment (25 marks)

In this component, home assignments, tutorials, problem solving, group discussions, quiz and projects, etc are given and evaluated regularly.

- Mid Semester Examination( 30 marks)

Mid semester examination is conducted within 7-8 weeks after the start of teaching of each semester.

- End semester Examination(45 Marks)

End semester examination is conducted at the end of semester.

Evaluation of impact of the each course is observed through grading system. After the end semester examination, evaluation of each subject is carried out and finally grading is awarded as per given marking range.

| Grading | Marks Range |
| :--- | :--- |
| A+ | $80-100$ |
| A | Relative |
| B+ | Relative |
| B | Relative |
| C+ | Relative |
| C | Relative |
| D | Relative |
| F | less than 30 |

2.2.4. Indicate the extent to which the laboratory and project course work are contributing towards attainment of the POs (10)
(Justify the balance between theory and practical for the attainment of the POs. Justify how the various project works (a sample of 20\% best and average projects from total projects) carried as part of the programme curriculum contribute towards the attainment of the POs.)
All lab experiments are designed to achieve course objectives which in turn are in sync with the POs. Project based learning provides additional application orientation to the subjects. Final year projects provides significant learning and ability to develop systems and apply the knowledge in the real world which directly and significantly contribute to attainment of POs. The various lab courses included are listed below:

- Introduction to Computer and C Programming Lab.
- Data Structures Lab.
- Database System Lab.
- Object Oriented Programming Lab.
- Operating System Lab.
- Software Engineering Lab.
- Multimedia Development Lab.
- Basic Electronics Lab.
- Basic Electrical Circuit lab.
- Computer Graphic Lab.
- Software Testing and Debugging lab.
- Physics lab.
- Digital Electronics Lab.
- Unix Lab.
- Java Programming Lab
- Computer Organization Lab.
- Signals and Systems Lab.
- Algorithm Lab.
- Communication System Lab.
- Web Technology lab.

| Course Type | Major Contribution to PO |
| :---: | :---: |
| Theory Courses | PO-1, PO-2, PO-3, PO-4, PO-6, PO-7, PO-8, PO-9 |
| Practical Courses | PO-1, PO-2, , PO-7, PO-8, PO-9 |
| Course based | PO-1, PO-2, PO-3, PO-4, PO-6, PO-7, PO-8, PO-9, |
| Projects | PO-10 |
| Major | PO-1, PO-2, PO-3, PO-4, PO-6, PO-7, PO-8, PO-9, |
| Projects | PO-10 |

Table-10: Major Contribution to PO

| Course Title | Programme Outcomes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | PO-1 | PO-2 | PO-3 | PO-4 | PO-5 | PO-6 | PO-7 | PO-8 | PO-9 | PO-10 | Weightage |
| Introduction to Computer and Programming Lab | H | H | H | M | M | H | H | H | M | H | 89\% |
| Data Structures Lab | H | H | H | H | M | H | H | H | M | H | 93\% |
| Object oriented programming Lab | H | H | H | H | M | H | H | H | H | H | 96\% |
| Database Systems Lab | H | H | H | H | H | H | H | H | H | H | 100\% |
| Algorithms Lab | H | H | H | M | M | M | M | H | M | M | 81\% |
| Operating Systems Lab | H | H | H | M | M | M | M | H | M | M | 81\% |
| Software Engineering Lab | H | H | H | H | H | H | H | H | H | H | 100\% |
| Software Testing \& Debugging lab | H | H | H | H | M | M | M | M | M | M | 81\% |
| Computer Networks Lab | H | H | H | H | H | H | H | H | H | H | 100\% |
| Computer Graphics Lab | H | H | H | H | H | M | M | M | M | M | 85\% |
| Compiler Design Lab | H | H | H | H | M | M | M | M | M | M | 81\% |
| Computer Organization Lab | H | H | H | H | H | M | M | M | H | M | 89\% |
| Java Programming Lab | H | H | H | H | H | M | M | M | M | M | 85\% |
| Unix programming Lab | H | H | H | H | H | M | M | M | H | M | 89\% |


| Mutimedia Development lab | H | H | H | M | M | H | H | H | M | H | $89 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Basic Electrical Circuit lab | H | H | H | H | M | H | H | H | M | H | $93 \%$ |
| Web Tech. Lab. | H | H | H | H | M | H | H | H | H | H | $96 \%$ |
| System and Network Prog. lab | H | H | H | H | H | H | H | H | H | H | $100 \%$ |
| Digital Electronics lab. | H | H | H | M | M | M | M | H | M | M | $81 \%$ |
| Communication System Lab | H | H | H | M | M | M | M | H | M | M | $81 \%$ |
| Physics lab | H | H | H | H | H | H | H | H | H | H | $100 \%$ |
| Basic Electronics lab | H | H | H | H | M | M | M | M | M | M | $81 \%$ |
| Weightage | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $92 \%$ | $82 \%$ | $82 \%$ | $82 \%$ | $87 \%$ | $82 \%$ | $82 \%$ |  |

Table-11: Lab Courses Contribution to PO

### 2.3. Evaluation of the attainment of the Programme Outcomes (125)

2.3.1. Describe assessment tools and processes used for assessing the attainment of each PO (25)

Describe the assessment process that periodically documents and demonstrates the degree to which the Programme Outcomes are attained.
a) A listing and description of the assessment processes used to gather the data upon which the evaluation of each the programme educational objective is based. Examples of data collection processes may include, but are not limited to, specific exam questions, student portfolios, internally developed assessment exams, senior project presentations, nationally-normed exams, oral exams, focus groups, industrial advisory committee;
b) The frequency with which these assessment processes are carried out.

Tools can be divided into two categories

- Direct Assessment and
- Indirect Assessment

The tools such as tests, assignments, examinations etc. are utilized to design the questions that relate to specific course outcomes in each course. Presentations are aimed towards wider scope of the subject including its impact on society and environment as a whole. The question/answer at the presentation make the scope even wider and relate with the course and programme outcomes such as PO-6, PO-7, PO-8, PO-9 and PO-10 and give the student a feel that things are almost never complete, thus the need for continuous independent life-long learning is emphasized. The above elements put together result in a grade in each course. The grade $\mathrm{A}+, \mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B}+, \mathrm{B}, \mathrm{C}+, \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{D}$ are pass grades, which indicate the level of attainment of the programme outcome related to that course. Thus the grades in courses along with a mapping of course outcomes and programme outcomes will result in a measure of the direct attainment of each programme outcome in the form of a percentage.

Another element included in the assessment of attainment of programme outcomes is the opinion of exiting graduates about the attainment of each programme outcome. This survey is taken near the end of the winter semester of the final year. Besides, a survey on the attainment of each programme outcome is also taken from the recent alumni, employers and performance in tests such as GATE, GRE which gives us an idea about the strength, weakness of each PO, thus providing a basis for revision of POs. They all contribute equally towards indirect attainment of PO's.

## Overall Attainment of POs:

Both direct and indirect assessment tools are used for evaluation of attainment of POs. For the overall attainment, $70 \%$ \& $30 \%$ weightage are given to direct and indirect assessment respectively for this report. Details of the procedure adopted is given below:

## > Direct Assessment Tools

The undergraduate program of the department is credit based with continuous evaluation system. Evaluation is conducted by the subject teacher throughout the semester. Each subject contains three main components for evaluation:

## Course Work:

- Teacher Assessment: In this component, home assignments, tutorials, problem solving, group discussions, quiz, etc are given and evaluated regularly.
- Mid Semester Examination: Mid semester examination is conducted within 7-8 weeks after the start of teaching of each semester. The syllabus of the exam conducted covers around $30-50 \%$ of the total course content.
- End semester Examination: End semester examination is conducted at the end of semester. Complete syllabus is covered in this examination. Major Weightage of marks is given to this component.
- Practical Courses: In these courses, continous evaluation is done through viva-voce, presentation, report submission and laboratory quiz.
- Course based Projects: Projects are assigned in every course to promote project based learning, and the same is evaluated by the teacher.

The weight distribution of components are given in the following table:

| Subject Type | Assessment Components | Weightage (\%) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Theory | Internal Assessment | 25 |
|  | Mid Sem Exam | 30 |
|  | End Sem Exam | 45 |
| Lab | Internal Assessment | 30 |
|  | Mid Sem Exam | 20 |
|  | End Sem Exam | 30 |
|  | Project | 15 |
|  | Lab Record | 05 |

After the end semester examination, combined evaluation of each subject is carried out and finally grading is awarded as per given marking range.

| Grading | Marks Range |
| :--- | :--- |
| A + | $80-100$ |
| A | Relative |
| $\mathrm{B}+$ | Relative |
| B | Relative |
| $\mathrm{C}+$ | Relative |
| C | Relative |
| D | Relative |
| F | less than 30 |

All the theory and practical courses are directly related to one or more than one POs. Performance in various courses reflects the extent of achievement of POs.

| Component | Frequency |
| :---: | :---: |
| Teacher Assessment | Continuous |
| Mid Semester Examination | Once in a Semester |
| End semester Examination | Once in a Semester |

## Attainment of POs

Evaluation of attainment of POs for Direct Assessment Tools is carried out as follows;

- For each course, two groups are created for attainment of course outcomes, i.e. PASS (for grades A+,A,B+,B,C+,C,D) \& FAIL (for grade F)
- For PASS category, 100 \% CO achievement is considered whereas for FAIL category, 0 \% CO achievement is considered.
- Since all the COs is mapped with POs. Therefore calculated CO achievements are used to evaluate the degree of attainment of POs. An arithmetic average value is used for this calculation.

| Grade | Result | CO Achievement \% |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{A}+, \mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B}+, \mathrm{B}, \mathrm{C}+, \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{D}$ | Pass | 100 |
| F | Fail | 0 |

## Indirect Assessment Tools

Course Outcome Feedback: After the end of every semester, feedback is taken for individual subject with reference to their course outcomes.
Graduate Exit Feedback: In the last semester i.e. 8th semester, feedback is taken by the student of last year. Achievement of POs and graduate attributes (GA) are taken as criteria in the feedback.

Alumni Feedback: Alumni, particularly who has graduated within the 3-4 years of current academic year, feedback is taken with reference to the achievement of POs.
Industrial Feedback: Students who has undergone vocational/summer training and internship in the industries as well as who got the jobs in the industries. Feedback is taken from the industries for the performance of students. (This feedback is not yet taken). A verbal feedback is taken from industry persons when our faculty meets them at any conference or when they come to our institute for giving lectures or training.
International / National Level Examination: In this component, various examinations (national and international level) like GATE, NET, CAT, GRE, IELTS, TOEFL are taken in to consideration for students performance and evaluation.

| Component | Frequency |
| :--- | :--- |
| Course Outcome Feedback | End of Semester |
| Exit Student Feedback | Annually |
| Alumni Feedback | Annually |
| Industrial Feedback | Annually |
| Inter/ National Examination | Annually |

## Attainment of POs:

In the feedback form, grading is given (normally 1-10). Students/Alumni fill the form as per grading system. Average of these grades are calculated and taken as basis for evaluation of attainment of POs.
In examinations (Internationally/Nationally, of repute), number of students qualified out of number of students appeared is considered for evaluation of attainment of POs. In the attainment of POs, all the mentioned tools are used for evaluation of attainment of POs.
The feedback collected at the end of semester is submitted to the registrar for updating data on the web kiosk and generated feedback is communicated to all the faculty members.
2.3.2. Indicate results of evaluation of each PO (50)
a) The expected level of attainment for each of the program outcomes;
b) Summaries of the results of the evaluation processes and an analysis illustrating the extent to which each of the programme outcomes are attained; and
c) How the results are documented and maintained.

The results are maintained on LAN so that the students can have access to their respective performances.
A minimum of $80 \%$ of attendance is required for students to appear in final exam. The result is divided into mid-semester and final-semester and internal assessment.
As the students have regular access to their performance, they can improve accordingly hence helping them in attaining the POs.
We maintain a soft copy \& hard copy of every semester's performance. There is online documentation on www.juit.ac.in. So the results remain fair and public.
Also the parents can monitor their ward's performance by accessing our web portal. The web portal is available $24 \times 7$.

|  |  | Assessment Tools |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Academic Performance | Higher Studies | Placement | Professional and Ethical | Exit Survey | Course <br> Feedback | Alumni feedback | Weightage |
|  | PO-1 | H | H | H | M | H | H | H | 95\% |
|  | PO-2 | H | H | H | M | H | H | M | 90\% |
|  | PO-3 | H | H | H | M | M | H | H | 90\% |
|  | PO-4 | H | M | M | H | H | H | H | 90\% |
|  | PO-5 | M | M | M | H | H | H | H | 86\% |
|  | PO-6 | H | H | H | M | H | M | H | 90\% |
|  | PO-7 | M | M | H | H | H | H | H | 90\% |
|  | PO-8 | M | M | H | H | H | H | H | 90\% |
|  | PO-9 | M | M | H | H | H | H | H | 90\% |
|  | PO-10 | H | H | M | H | M | M | M | 81\% |
|  | Weightage | 85\% | 81\% | 93\% | 85\% | 96\% | 96\% | 96\% |  |

Table-12: Evaluation of POs


Table-13: Placement Record

| Batch | Total No of <br> Students | No of <br> Job <br> offered | \%age <br> placement |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2007-2011$ | 53 | 47 | 89 |
| $2008-2012$ | 48 | 45 | 94 |
| $2009-2013$ | 49 | 45 | 92 |
| $2010-2014$ | 37 | 36 | 97 |
| $2011-2015($ Oct <br> $2014)$ | 59 | 45 | 76 |

## Table-14: Placement Record

2.4. Use of evaluation results towards improvement of the programme (30)
2.4.1. Indicate how the results of evaluation used for curricular improvements (5)
(Articulate with rationale the curricular improvements brought in after the review of the attainment of the POs)
Based on the evaluation and review of the attainment of POs, modification are done in the programme curriculum, aspects such as increase or decrease in the components of theory, practical, project work, communication skills courses and elective courses are considered. In addition, attempt is made to introduce new courses, labs, experiments, exercises for project work, etc on the basis of external interaction with the industry and academia at seminars or conferences.

Some of the improvements that have been carried out in the past are listed below:

- Some new experiments have been added in the lab courses.
- A new lab course titled Advanced Programming in C, C+ was introduced in 2013.
- New elective courses have been added from time to time.
- OOPs programming course was strengthened by including JAVA.
- CUDA Lab was introduced to focus on parallel computing
2.4.2. Indicate how results of evaluation used for improvement of course delivery and assessment (10)
(Articulate with rationale the curricular delivery and assessment improvements brought in after the review of the attainment of the POs)
Based on the evaluation of the attainment of POs and along with the results and analysis of the student feedback about each course, the methods of course delivery and assessment are reviewed. The assessment methods are reviewed such as increase or decrease in the assignments, talks, presentations, quizzes, projects etc. Some of the improvements carried out in the past are listed below:
- In the continuous assessment system, number of surprise quizzes has been increased to 4 .
- Online submission of assignments has been implemented selectively.
- Course description is regularly revised to include the state of art and attainment of POs.
- Course-wise feedback system has been introduced, where feedback is obtained from the students.
- Projects are introduced for each course to promote project based learning.
2.4.3. State the process used for revising/redefining the POs (15)
(Articulate with rationale how the results of the evaluation of the POs have been used to review/redefine the POs in line with the Graduate Attributes of the NBA.)

Based on the feedback of the stakeholders the departmental Advisory Committee considers the improvements and obtains the approval of the BOS. The process is depicted diagrammatically in figure 4 below.


Fig-4: Process for defining and redefining the POs

## 3. Programme Curriculum (125)

3.1. Curriculum (20)
3.1.1. Describe the Structure of the Curriculum (5)

| Course Code | Course Title | Number of contact hours* |  |  |  | Credits |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Lecture (L) | Tutorial (T) | Practical (P) | Total Hours |  |
| 10B11PD111 | Presentation and Communication Skill | 3 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 3 |
| 10B11MA111 | Mathematics-I | 3 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 4 |
| 10B11PH111 | Physics-I | 3 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 4 |
| 10B11EC111 | Basic Electrical Circuits | 3 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 4 |
| 10B11CI111 | Introduction to Computer and Programming | 3 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 4 |
| 10B17PH171 | Physics Lab-1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 1 |
| 10B17EC171 | Electrical Circuits Lab | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 1 |
| 10B17CI171 | Computer Programming Lab | 0 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 2 |
| 10B11PD211 | Professional Dev - II | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 |
| 10B11MA211 | Discrete Maths | 3 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 4 |


| 10B11PH211 | Physics-II | 3 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10B11EC211 | Basic Electronic Devices and Circuits |  | 1 | 0 | 4 | 4 |
| 10B17PH271 | Physics Lab-II | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 1 |
| 10B17EC271 | Basic Electronics Lab | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 1 |
| 10B11CI211 | Data Structures | 3 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 4 |
| 10B17CI271 | Data Structures and Computer Programming Lab | 0 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 2 |
| 10B11PD311 | Managerial Economics | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 |
| 10B11MA201 | Mathematics-II | 3 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 4 |
| 10B11EC412 | Digital Electronics | 3 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 4 |
| 10B11CI311 | Object Oriented Programming | 3 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 4 |
| 10B11CI312 | Database Systems | 3 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 4 |
| 10B17EC472 | Digital Electronics Lab | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 1 |
| 10B17CI371 | Objected Oriented Programming Lab | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 1 |


| 10B17CI372 | Database Systems Lab | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10B17CI307 | Unix Programming Lab | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 1 |
| 10B11PD411 | Professional Dev - IV | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 |
| 10B11GE411 | Environmental Studies | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 |
| 10B11CI421 | Computer Organization | 3 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 4 |
| 10B11CI481 | Computer Organization Lab | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 1 |
| 10B11EC301 | Signals and Systems | 3 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 4 |
| 10B17EC307 | Signals and Systems Lab 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 1 |
| 10B11MA411 | Probability Theory and Random Processes | 3 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 4 |
| 10B11CI411 | Fundamentals of Algorithms | 3 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 4 |
| 10B17CI471 | Algorithms Lab | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 1 |
| 10B28CI408 | Multimedia Development Lab I |  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 1 |
| 10B11PD511 | Social and Legal Issues | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 |


| 10B11EC513 | Communication Systems 3 |  | 1 | 0 | 4 | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10B11CI511 | Operating Systems | 3 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 4 |
| 10B11CI512 | Software Engineering | 3 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 4 |
| 10B17EC573 | Communication Systems Lab | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 1 |
| 10B17CI571 | Operating Systems Lab | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 1 |
| 10B17CI572 | Software Engineering Lab | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 1 |
| 10B28CI581 | Web Technology Lab | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 1 |
| 10B1WCI515 | Software Testing and Debugging | 3 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 4 |
| 10B1WCI575 | Software Testing and Debugging Lab | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 1 |
| 10B22CI521 | Web Application Engineering | 3 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 4 |
| 10B11PD611 | Professional Dev - VI | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 |
| 10B11PH611 | Material Science | 3 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 4 |
| 10B11CI611 | Computer Networks | 3 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 4 |


| 10B17CI671 | Computer Networks Lab | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 1 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 11B1WCI611 | Computer Graphics | 3 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 4 |
| 11B1WCI671 | Computer Graphics Lab | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 4 |
| 10B22CI621 | Information Systems | 3 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 4 |
| 10B22CI623 | Java Programming | 3 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 4 |
| 10B17CI672 | Java Programming Lab | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 4 |
| 10B28CI681 | Information Systems Lab | 0 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 4 |
| 10B22CI622 | Data Mining | 3 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 4 |
| 10B11PD711 | Professional Dev - VII | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 |
| 10B1WCI7* | DE-1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 |
| 10B1WCI7* | DE-2 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 |
| 10B1WCI7* | DE-3 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 |


| CS* | Project Part-1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 10 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10B11PD811 | Professional Dev - VIII | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 |
| 10B1WCI8* | DE-4 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 |
| 10B1WCI8* | DE-5 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 |
| 10B1WCI8* | DE-6 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 |
| CS* | Project Part-2 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 10 |
| Total 241 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

*Seminars, project works may be considered as practical
3.1.2. Give the Prerequisite flow chart of courses (5)
(Draw the schematic of the prerequisites of the courses in the curriculum)

|  | SEM-1 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CODE | Subjects | cr |
| 10811PD111 | Presentation and Communication Skills | 3 |
| 10B11PMA11 | Mathematics-I | 4 |
| 10811PH111 | Physics-I | 4 |
| $10811 \mathrm{Cl111}$ | Introduction to Computers and Programming | 4 |
| 10817EC111 | Basic Electrical Circuits | 4 |
| $10 \mathrm{B17EC171}$ | Basic Electrical Circuits Lab | 1 |
| 10817 PH 171 | Physics Lab-I | 1 |
| $10 \mathrm{B11PD117}$ | Computer Programming Lab | 2 |
| 10B19GE199 | Institutional Orientation | 0 |
|  | Total | 23 |


Table-15: CSE Course Curricula

| List of Electives in 7 th Semester (atleast one subject from each bucket) |  |  | List of Electives in 8 th Semester (atleast one subject from each bucket) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1081WC1735 | Network Security and Cryptography Techniques | Bucket 1 | 11B1WC1836 | Network Management | Bucket 1 |
| 13B1WC1731 | ARM based Embedded System Design |  |  |  |  |
| 1081 WCI736 | Principles of Programming Languages |  |  |  |  |
| 12B1WC1732 | Biometric Recognition Techniques |  | 11B2WC1851 | E-Commerce |  |
| 10B1WMA731 | Optimisation Techniques |  | 11B1WC1834 | Parallel Processing |  |
| 10B1WMA732 | Numerical Techniques |  | $07 \mathrm{B81} 121403$ | Thin FilmTechnology |  |
| 1081WC1737 | Image Processing Techniques | Bucket 2 | 11B1WPH834 | Biosensors |  |
| 1281WCI733 | Adv JAVA |  | 13B1WPH831 | Computational Physics |  |
| 1081WC1733 | Graph Algorithms and Applications |  | 11B1WPH831 | Wireless Networks |  |
| 11B1WC1731 | Software Agents |  | 11B1WMA831 | Partial Differential Equations | Bucket 2 |
| 10B1WPH731 | Nano Science and Technology |  | 11B1WMA832 | Linear Programming and Applications |  |
| 1081WPH732 | Optical Fibre Communication |  | 13B1WMA131 | Fundamentals of OR |  |
| 1081WPH733 | Thin Film Technology |  | 13B1WMA132 | Integral Transforms |  |
| 1081WC1731 | Artificial Intelligence | Bucket 3 | 11B1WCI833 | Parallel Computing Algorithms |  |
| 10M1傦 | Advanced Data Structures |  |  |  |  |
| 10M11Cl112 | Advanced Computer Networks |  |  |  |  |
| 10M11Cl113 | Advanced Database Systems |  | 11B1WCI831 | Embedded Systems and Applications |  |
| 10M11Cl114 | High performance Computer Architecture |  | 11B1WCI835 | Storage Networks |  |
| 1281WCI734 | C\# and VB.NET |  | 13B1WC1832 | Human Computer Interaction | Bucket 3 |
| 11B1WC1832 | Information Retrieval \& Data Mining |  | 10M11Cl211 | Advanced Algorithms |  |
|  |  |  | 10M11Cl212 | Advanced Operating Systems |  |
|  |  |  | 10M11-1213 | Advanced Software Engg |  |
|  |  |  | 11B1WC1832 | Information Retrieval and Data mining |  |
|  |  |  | 12B1WC1831 | Cloud Computing |  |
|  |  |  | 13B1WC1831 | Service Oriented Architecture |  |

Table-16: List of Electives


Table-17: Prerequisite Chart
3.1.3. Justify how the programme curriculum satisfies the program specific criteria (10)
(Justify how the programme curriculum satisfies the program specific criteria specified by the American professional societies relevant to the programme under accreditation)
Our structure of the curriculum has both breadth and depth across the range of engineering topics implied by the title of the program. The curriculum also include probability, statistics, descrete mathematics, differential and integral calculus; sciences (defined as physics ); and engineering topics (including computing science) necessary to analyze and design complex electrical and electronic devices, software, and systems containing hardware and software components. The course also contains 8 Humanities and Social Sciences courses (one per semester) that include inputs on communication skills, management, psychology and legal aspects.

## Knowledge Areas

The attempt has been made to design and realign the the curriculum as per the guidelines ACM/IEEE curricula using CC 2005, IT 2008 and CS2013 curricula. The CS2013 Body of Knowledge is organized into a set of 18 Knowledge Areas (KAs), corresponding to topical areas of study in computing. The Knowledge Areas are:

- AL - Algorithms and Complexity
- AR - Architecture and Organization
- CN - Computational Science
- DS - Discrete Structures
- GV - Graphics and Visualization
- HCI - Human-Computer Interaction
- IAS - Information Assurance and Security
- IM - Information Management
- IS - Intelligent Systems
- NC - Networking and Communications
- OS - Operating Systems
- PBD - Platform-based Development
- PD - Parallel and Distributed Computing
- PL - Programming Languages
- SDF - Software Development Fundamentals
- SE - Software Engineering
- SF - Systems Fundamentals
- SP - Social Issues and Professional Practice

The curricula consist of 195 credits which are distributed as under:

- Theory courses 149 credits
- Lab Courses 26 Credits
- Project 20 Credits

The above credits require a students engagement of 241 hours.
3.2. State the components of the curriculum and their relevance to the POs and the PEOs (15)

|  |  |  | POs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | PEO |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| H B o 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 |  | S！！рәлכ ј0 ләqunn IE10工 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { T } \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N } \\ & \text { Ó } \end{aligned}$ | Ọ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { I } \\ & \text { O } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { R1 } \\ & \text { Ó } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { O } \\ & \text { O } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Bi } \\ & \text { O } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 品 } \\ & \text { 毕 } \\ & .00 \\ & 3 \\ & 3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { T } \\ & 0 \\ & \text { M1] } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N } \\ & \text { O } \\ & \text { ITIn } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { M } \\ & 0 \\ & 1 \times 1 \\ & A \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { م } \\ & 0 \\ & \text { OTIn } \\ & A \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \text { ITI } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { T } \\ & 0 \\ & \text { ITII } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |
| Mathematics | 8.2 | 16 | H | H | H | M | M | H | H | M | M | M | 85\％ | H | H | H | M | H | M | H | 90\％ |
| Science | 7.2 | 10 | H | H | H | M | M | H | H | M | M | H | 85\％ | M | H | H | H | M | H | H | 90\％ |
| Computing | 6.2 | 12 | H | H | H | H | H | H | H | M | M | H | 93\％ | H | H | H | M | H | M | H | 90\％ |
| Humanities | 12.3 | 24 | M | M | H | M | H | M | H | H | H | M | 85\％ | M | H | M | H | H | M | H | 86\％ |
| Professional core | 56.9 | 115 | H | H | H | H | H | H | H | H | H | H | 100\％ | H | M | H | M | H | H | H | 90\％ |
| Professional <br> Elective | 9.2 | 18 | H | H | M | H | H | H | M | H | H | M | 89\％ | H | M | H | M | H | H | M | 86\％ |
| Weightage |  |  | 94\％ | 94\％ | 94\％ | 89\％ | 89\％ | 94\％ | 94\％ | 83\％ | 83\％ | 83\％ |  | 80\％ | 80\％ | 87\％ | 80\％ | 93\％ | 80\％ | 87\％ |  |

Table－18：Curriculum and their relevance to the POs and the PEOs
3.3. State core engineering subjects and their relevance to Programme Outcomes including design experience (10)
(Describe how the core engineering subjects in the curriculum are giving the learning experience with the complex engineering problems) (50)

| Course Title | Programme Outcomes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | PO-1 | PO-2 | PO-3 | PO-4 | PO-5 | PO-6 | PO-7 | PO-8 | PO-9 | PO-10 | Weightage |
| Introduction to Computer and Programming | H | H | H | M | M | H | H | H | M | H | 90\% |
| Data Structures | H | H | H | H | M | H | H | H | M | H | 93\% |
| Object Oriented Programming | H | H | H | H | M | H | H | H | H | M | 93\% |
| Database Systems | H | H | H | H | H | H | H | H | H | M | 97\% |
| Fundamentals of Algorithms | H | H | H | M | H | M | M | H | H | M | 80\% |
| Operating Systems | H | H | H | M | M | M | M | H | M | M | 80\% |
| Software Engineering | H | H | H | H | H | H | H | H | H | M | 97\% |
| Information Systems | H | H | H | H | M | M | M | H | M | M | 83\% |
| Computer Networks | H | H | H | H | H | H | H | H | H | M | 97\% |
| Computer Graphics | H | H | H | H | H | M | M | M | M | M | 83\% |
| Data Mining | H | H | H | H | M | M | M | M | M | M | 80\% |
| Web Application Engineering | H | H | H | H | M | M | H | H | M | M | 80\% |
| Computer Organisation and Architecture | H | H | H | H | M | M | M | M | M | H | 83\% |
| Total | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 87\% | 81\% | 82\% | 82\% | 82\% | 82\% | 82\% |  |

Table-19: Relevance to Programme Outcomes with Core Subjects

An Undergraduate CSE program is geared towards imparting basic knowledge of 18 Knowledge Areas defined in CS2013. The Knowledge Areas are:

- AL - Algorithms and Complexity
- AR - Architecture and Organization
- CN - Computational Science
- DS - Discrete Structures
- GV - Graphics and Visualization
- HCI - Human-Computer Interaction
- IAS - Information Assurance and Security
- IM - Information Management
- IS - Intelligent Systems
- NC - Networking and Communications
- OS - Operating Systems
- PBD - Platform-based Development
- PD - Parallel and Distributed Computing
- PL - Programming Languages
- SDF - Software Development Fundamentals
- SE - Software Engineering
- SF - Systems Fundamentals
- SP - Social Issues and Professional Practice

Experimental labs play a vital role towards building a good understanding of the theoretical concepts and also to test any innovative idea. The students are exposed to basic experimental skills in Computing, software development and data analysis / interpretation through various lab courses in virtually all the core areas.
Effective communication plays a vital role in the efficient functioning of an individual or a team on a small scale and the entire organization on the larger scale. As an exercise towards development of communication skills (written as well as oral), students undergo a compulsory course on communication skills in which they have to give oral presentations and submit brief reports on various topics
identified for them. Economics and management plays a pivotal role in the success of any engineering project. Students are made aware of the key concepts and analysis methods in these important areas in a compulsory course on Economics and Management.

### 3.4. Industry interaction/internship (10)

## (Give the details of industry involvement in the programme such as industry-attached laboratories and partial delivery of courses and internship opportunities for students)

Students are required to complete 6 weeks of industrial training in the form of summer projects after their sixth semester during the $3^{\text {rd }}$ year curriculum. Students have to pursue a detailed project in the specific company in the areas of computer software and hardware through a specially designed project. The project enables the student to understand the business process and makes them ready for the corporate careers ahead. This project work is supplemented by literature survey and library research. Students are expected to study and analyze the IT initiatives of the company in addition to the company's operations, and then develop a software solution for the company. Students are also encouraged to participate in industrial orientation programme from time to time. On completion of the industrial training, a student is required to submit a training report which is evaluated and satisfactory/unsatisfactory grade is awarded.

The experiments of disciplines like Data Structure, Database Systems, Operating Systems, Computer Networks, Information Systems, Software Testing \& Debugging, and Software Engineering etc. are designed by having the feedback from the alumni’s. Laboratories of these disciplines are equipped with latest hardware's and software's. Students are trained in such an environment that they don't face any problem of adaptation during their training and job period.

Many of the elective subjects are designed and floated to the students in the seventh and eighth semester of the final year curriculum. These elective subjects attempt to meet the current requirement from the industry and provide the entry level of knowledge to the students. The curriculum of these subjects is prepared after thorough examination of the requirements. Some of the major elective subjects are Network security and cryptography techniques, Software agents, Advanced Java, Storage networks, Cloud computing, and Service oriented architecture etc. These areas are highly in demand in the industry and their curriculum is prepared accordingly so that students and industry both can be benefitted.

In house training is also provided to the students by industry personnel. The duration of such training varies from 15 days to 1 month. The third year and final year students are asked to undergo such trainings. In last few years, many organizations like Accenture, Infosys, Wipro, HCL, and IBM etc have organized a number of training sessions on Database Management Systems, C++, JAVA, Web technologies, Software Engineering, and Code engineering etc and provided a detailed and thorough understanding of development and implementations covering difficult aspects.

Our students have been accepted at various major organization like CAIR-DRDO Bangalore, Infosys, Accenture, Wipro, HCL, and IBM etc. for the summer and winter internship to the students.

### 3.5. Curriculum Development (15)

3.5.1. State the process for designing the programme curriculum (5)
(Describe the process that periodically documents and demonstrates how the programme curriculum is evolved considering the PEOs and the POs)


Fig-5: Process for designing the Programme Curriculum

Based on the feedback from the stakeholders the departmental Advisory Committee develops the curriculum and seeks the approval of the BOS, Academic Council. The following points are kept as guidelines for the entire curriculum design process:

- CC 2005 / IT 2008 / ACM/IEE CS Curricula
- Policy made by the University
- Consideration of existing curriculum of reputed institutes in India and abroad.
- Model AICTE Curriculum
- Expertise and resources available in the department.

Their recommendation is considered and approved by the BOS (board of studies) of the department. The approved curriculum is send to University Academic Council for their final endorsement.

The faculty in the department is loosely divided into 7 groups and the groups design new courses in their areas:

| $\mathbf{1}$ | Ubiquitous Computing |
| :--- | :--- |
| 2 | Machine Intelligence |
| 3 | Databases and Distributed Systems |
| 4 | Systems and Network Security |
| 5 | Computer Systems and Networks |
| 6 | Software Engineering and Information Systems |
| 7 | Algorithms and Parallel Computing |

3.5.2. Illustrate the measures and processes used to improve courses and curriculum (10)
(Articulate the process involved in identifying the requirements for improvements in courses and curriculum and provide the evidence of continuous improvement of courses and curriculum)

Same procedure, as mentioned above in 3.5.1, is followed for improvement of curriculum and courses. The basis for the improvement comes primarily from the international research scenario in various disciplines of Computer Science \& Engineering. This input is given by the faculty members, many of whom are involved in high quality research work. In addition, the needs of the industry obtained through feedback from discussions held with the experts from industry and discussion with the employers who come for placement at the TPO is also taken into consideration.

### 3.6. Course Syllabi (5)

(Include, in appendix, a syllabus for each course used. Syllabi format should be consistent and shouldn't exceed two pages.)
The syllabi format may include:
o Department, course number, and title of course
o Designation as a required or elective course
o Pre-requisites
o Contact hours and type of course (lecture, tutorial, seminar, project etc.)
o Course Assessment methods(both continuous and semester-end assessment)
o Course outcomes
o Topics covered
o Text books, and/or reference material
Syllabus is attached in Appendix-C and details are given in table, chart below:

| S. No. | Description | \% of credits | Credits |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Mathematics | 8.2 | 16 |
| 2 | Science | 7.2 | 14 |
| 3 | Computing | 6.2 | 12 |
| 4 | Humanities | 12.3 | 24 |
| 5 | Professional Core | 56.9 | 111 |
| 6 | Professional Electives | 9.2 | 18 |
|  | Total | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 5}$ |

Table-21: Academic Components in the Curriculum


Chart-22: Academic Components in the curriculum

## 4. Students' Performance (75)

Admission intake in the programme

| Item | CAY | CAYm1 | CAYm2 | CAYm3 | CAYm4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sanctioned intake strength in the programme ( $N$ ) | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 |
| Total number of admitted students in first year minus number of students migrated to other programmes at the end of 1st year ( $N 1$ ) | 22 | 25 | 59 | 37 | 49 |
| Number of admitted students in 2nd year in the same batch via lateral entry ( $N 2$ ) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total number of admitted students in the programme $(N 1+N 2)$ | 22 | 25 | 59 | 37 | 49 |

4.1. Success Rate (20)Provide data for the past seven batches of students

| Year of entry <br> (in reverse | Number of Students <br> admitted in 1st year | Number of students <br> who have |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |


| chronological <br> order | + admitted via lateral <br> entry in 2nd year (N1 <br> + N2) | successfully completed* |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CAY | 22 | 1st year | 2nd year | 3rd year | 4th year |
| CAYm1 | 25 | 21 | - |  |  |
| CAYm2 | 59 | 58 | 53 | - | - |
| CAYm3 | 37 | 28 | 36 | 36 | - |
| CAYm4 (LYG) | 49 | 38 | 37 | 42 | 49 |
| CAYm5 (LYGm1) | 48 | 38 | 40 | 42 | 43 |
| CAYm6 (LYGm2) | 53 | 40 | 41 | 45 | 49 |

*successfully completed implies zero backlogs

Success rate $=20 \times$ mean of success index (SI) for past three batches
SI= (Number of students who graduated from the programme in the stipulated period of course duration)/ (Number of students admitted in the first year of that batch and admitted in 2nd year via lateral entry)

| Item | LYG <br> (CAYm4) | LYGm1 <br> (CAYm5) | LYGm2 <br> (CAYm6) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number of students <br> admitted in the <br> corresponding First Year + <br> admitted via lateral entry in <br> 2nd year | 49 | 48 |  |  |  |
| Number of students who <br> have graduated in the <br> stipulated period | 49 | 43 | 53 |  |  |
| Success index (SI) | 1 |  | 49 |  |  |
| Average SI $=0.94$ |  |  |  |  | 0.92 |

Success rate $=20 \times$ Average SI $=18.8$

### 4.2. Academic Performance (20)

| API | $=$ | Academic performance index |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
|  | $=$ | Mean of cumulative grade point average (CGPA) of all <br> successful students on a 10- point CGPA system |

$=($ Mean of the percentage of marks of all successful students)/10
Assessment $=2 \times$ API

|  | LYG | LYGm1 | LYGm2 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mean of cumulative <br> grade point average <br> (CGPA) of all <br> successful students <br> on a 10- point CGPA <br> system | 6.66 | 6.81 | 6.79 |
| Assessment <br> $=2 \times$ API | 13.32 |  |  |

Average Assessment for Three Years=13.50

### 4.3. Placement and Higher Studies (20)

Assessment Points $=20 \times(x+1.25 y) / N$
where, $\quad x=$ Number of students placed
$\mathrm{y}=$ Number of students admitted for higher studies with valid qualifying scores/ranks, and
$N=$ Total number of students who were admitted in the batch including lateral entry subject to maximum assessment

$$
\text { points }=20 .
$$

| Item | LYG | LYGm1 | LYGm2 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number of admitted students corresponding to LYG including lateral entry $(N)$ | 49 | 47 |  |
| Number of students who obtained jobs as per the record of placement office <br> (x1) | 29 |  |  |
| Number of students who found employment otherwise at the end of the final <br> year (x2) | 52 |  |  |
|  | $x=x 1+x 2$ | 8 | 47 |
| Number of students who opted for higher studies with valid qualifying <br> scores/ranks $(y)$ | 37 | 0 | 47 |

Number of students who opted for higher studies with valid qualifying scores/ranks (y)
Average assessment points $=19.21$

### 4.4 Professional Activities (15)

4.4.1 Professional societies / chapters and organising engineering events (3)
(Instruction: The institution may provide data for past three years).
Following are the events organized every years:

- Software reverse engineering
- Web designing
- The amazing race
- Virtual robotics
- Race to resurrection
- Syndicath 2.0

Following are the societies:

- IEEE Student Chapter
4.4.2 Organisation of paper contests, design contests, etc. and achievements (3)
(Instruction: The institution may provide data for past three years).
Following contests are organized every year:
- Autodozer,
- Online codez
- Debugging
- Android, Photoshop,
4.4.3. Publication of technical magazines, newsletters, etc. (3)
(Instruction: The institution may list the publications mentioned earlier along with the names of the editors, publishers, etc.).

| Magazine Name | Editor name | Publisher name |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Login | Shruti Shrivastav | JUIT |
| Reverie | Shruti Shrivastav <br> Nikita Gupta, <br> Anshul Vasu, <br> Apeksha <br> Chauhan, Kanika <br> Rana | JUIT |
| Alvida | Anshul Vasu |  |

4.4.4. Entrepreneurship initiatives, product designs, and innovations (3)
(Instruction: The institution may specify the efforts and achievements.)
For the above the efforts made by university:

- Mini-courses/Workshops/Speaker events to provide students from different institutions and other regional higher education institutions, training in entrepreneurial skills, creativity, leadership, and industry-specific knowledge;
- The Mentor Program to match students in the JUIT entrepreneurship program with local entrepreneurs to expand experiential learning and create stronger ties with the local community;
- The Market Research, Prototyping, and Planning initiative to provide JUIT students with modest financial resources to explore the feasibility of new venture ideas; and
- The Experiential Learning Internships/Externships to provide undergraduate and graduate students with the opportunity to expand in-class learning with hands-on exposure to the entrepreneurial process
4.4.5. Publications and awards in inter-institute events by students of the programme of study (3)
(Instruction: The institution may provide a table indicating those publications, which fetched awards to students in the events/conferences organised by other institutes. A tabulated list of all other student publications may be included in the appendix.)

Students have participated in technical events of following reputed institutions and won the various awards:

| Name of the <br> Students | Event and Place | Prize Won |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Salil Sekhri | Technical, BITS | Prd (CODE |
|  | PILANI | WRITING) |
| Kailash Sharma | Technical Fest, | $2^{\text {nd }}$ (QUIZ) |
|  | JIIT NOIDA |  |
| Harshvardhan | Technical Fest IIT | $3^{\text {rd }}$ (CODE) |
| Chand | KANPUR | 3rd (QUIZ) |
| Naveen Garg | Techfest, PEC | CHANDIGARH |

## 5. Faculty Contributions (175)

List of Faculty Members: Exclusively for the Programme / Shared with other Programmes
(2013-2014)

| Name of the faculty | Qualification, university, |  | Designation |  | Distribution of teaching load (\%) |  |  | Number of research publications in journals and conferences since joining | IPRs | R\&D and consultancy work with amount |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| member | and year of graduation | Year ot Passing |  | Uate ot Joining the Institution | yEAR | UG | PG |  |  |  |
| Vivek Sehgal | Ph.D. | 2010 | Associate. Professor | 1-Aug-03 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ravindara Bhatt | M.Tech | 2005 | Assistant Professor-II | 3-Jul-06 | 0 | 78 | 22 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Brig. (Retd.) S.P. <br> Ghrera | Ph.D. | 2012 | Professor and HOD | 12-Sep-06 | 0 | 78 | 22 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Nitin Rakesh | Ph.D. | 2012 | Assistant <br> Professor-SG | 8-Jul-08 | 00 | 100 |  | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| Komal Mahajan | M.S. | 2012 | Assistant <br> Professor-I | 20-july 2012 |  | 100 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Ansuyia Makroo | M.S. | 2012 | Assistant <br> Professor-I | 19-july-2012 |  | 100 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Wajid | M.Tech | 2009 | Assistant <br> Professor-II | 4-Jul-09 | 0 | 25 | $0$ | 1 | 0 | 0 |


| Dr. Pradeep Chauhan | Ph.D | 2009 | Asso. Professor | 28-June-2008 | 0 | 25 | 0 | 38 | 0 | 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dr. Shruti Jain | PH.D | 2012 | Assistant Professor-SG | 15-April-2008 | 0 | 50 | 0 | 20 |  | 0 |
| Triambica Gautam | MBA | 1998 | Assistant Professor-II | 4-Aug-2007 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 |
| Dr. Rajesh Kumar | Ph.D | 2010 | Assistant <br> Professor-II | Apri-2004 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 0 |
| Neha Aggarwal | MBA | 2010 | Assistant Professor-II | 22-july-2010 | 50 | 25 | 25 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| Dr. Rakesh Bajaj | Ph.D | 2010 | Assistant <br> Professor-SG | 1-May-2003 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 25 | 0 | 0 |

List of Faculty Members: Exclusively for the Programme / Shared with other Programmes
(2012-2013)


| Dr. Shruti Jain | PH.D | 2011 | Assistant <br> Professor-SG | 15-April-2008 |  | 50 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Triambica Gautam | MBA | 1998 | Assistant Professor-II | 4-Aug-2007 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 |
| Dr. Rajesh Kumar | Ph.D | 2010 | Assistant Professor-II | Apri-2004 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 0 |
| Neha Aggarwal | MBA | 2010 | Assistant Professor-II | 22-july-2010 | 50 | 25 | 25 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| Dr. Rakesh Bajaj | Ph.D | 2010 | Assistant <br> Professor-SG | 1-May-2003 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 25 | 0 | 0 |

List of Faculty Members: Exclusively for the Programme / Shared with other Programmes
(2011-2012)


|  |  |  | Professor-II |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dr. Rajesh Kumar | Ph.D | 2010 | Assistant <br> Professor-II | Apri-2004 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 0 |
| Neha Aggarwal | MBA | 2010 | Assistant <br> Professor-I | 22-july-2010 | 50 | 25 | 25 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| Rakesh Bajaj | Ph.D | 2010 | Assistant <br> Professor-II | 1-May-2003 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 25 | 0 | 0 |

List of Faculty Members: Exclusively for the Programme / Shared with other Programmes
(2010-2011)

| Name ot the faculty member | Qualification,university,andyear ofgraduation | Y ear of Passing | Designation | Date ot Joining the Institution | Distribution of teachingload (\%) |  |  | Number of research publications in journals and conferences since joining | IPRs | $\qquad$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|c\|c\|} \hline \text { yEAR } \end{array}$ | UG | PG |  |  |  |
| Vivek Sehgal | Ph.D. | 2010 | Asstt. <br> Professor-II | 1-Aug-03 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| Ravindara Bhatt | M.Tech | 2005 | Asstt. <br> Professor-II | 3-Jul-06 | 0 | 78 | 22 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Brig. (Retd.) S.P. Ghrera | Ph.D. | 2012 | Professor and HOD | 12-Sep-06 | 0 | 78 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Nitin Rakesh | M.Tech | 2012 | Assistant Professor-II | 8-Jul-08 | 00 | 80 | 20 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| Arvind Kumar | M.Tech | 2009 | Assistant Professor-II | 1-Aug-2011 | 0 | 75 | 25 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Wajid | M.Tech | 2011 | Assistant Professor-I | 4-Jul-09 | 0 | 50 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Dr. Shruti Jain | PH.D | 2011 | Assistant Professor-II | 15-April-2008 | 0 | 50 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 0 |


| Triambica Gautam | MBA | 1998 | Assistant <br> Professor-II | 4-Aug-2007 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dr. Rajesh Kumar | Ph.D | 2010 | Assistant <br> Professor-II | Apri-2004 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 0 |
| Neha Aggarwal | MBA | 2010 | Assistant <br> Professor-I | 22-july-2010 | 50 | 25 | 25 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| Rakesh Bajaj | Ph.D | 2010 | Assistant <br> Professor-II | 1-May-2003 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 25 | 0 | 0 |

(Instruction: The institution may complete this table for the calculation of the student-teacher ratio (STR). Teaching loads of the faculty member contributing to only undergraduate programme (2nd, 3rd, and 4th year) are considered to calculate the STR.)

### 5.1. Student-Teacher Ratio (STR) (20)

STR is desired to be 15 or superior

| Assessment | $=20 \times 15 /$ STR; subject to maximum assessment of 20 |
| :--- | :--- |
| STR | $=(x+y+z) / N 1$ |

where, $x=$ Number of students in 2nd year of the programme
$y=$ Number of students in 3rd year of the programme
$\mathrm{z}=$ Number of students in 4th year of the programme
$N 1=$ Total number of faculty members in the programme (by considering fractional load)

| Year | $x$ | $y$ | $z$ | $x+y+z$ | $N 1$ | STR | Assessment <br> $($ max. $=20)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| CAYm2 | 28 | 37 | 42 | 107 | 11 | 9.72 | 20 |
| CAYm1 | 58 | 36 | 42 | 136 | 12 | 11.33 | 20 |
| CAY | 21 | 53 | 36 | 110 | 13 | 8.46 | 20 |
|  |  |  |  | Average assessment |  |  | 20 |

For Item nos. 5.2 to 5. 8, the denominator term $(N)$ is computed as follows:
$N=$ Maximum $\{N 1, N 2\}$
$N 1=$ Total number of faculty members in the programme (considering the fractional load)
$N 2=$ Number of faculty positions needed for student-teacher ratio of 15.

| Year |  | $N 1$ | $N 2$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| CAYm2 | 11 | 8 | $N=$Max. (N1, <br> $N 2)$ <br> CAYm1 <br> 12 |
| CAY | 13 | 10 | 12 |

### 5.2. Faculty Cadre Ratio (20)

Assessment $=20 \times$ CRI
where, CRI =Cadre ratio index
$=2.25 \times(2 x+y) / N$; subject to max. CRI $=1.0$
where, $x=$ Number of professors in the programme Number of associate professors in the
$y \quad=$ programme

| Year | $X$ | $Y$ | $N$ | CRI | Assessment |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| CAYm2 | 1 | 0 | 11 | 0.20 | 4 |
| CAYm1 | 1 | 0 | 12 | 0.37 | 7.4 |
| CAY | 1 | 2 | 13 | 0.69 | 13.8 |
| Average assessment |  |  |  |  |  |

### 5.3. Faculty Qualifications (30)

Assessment $=6 \times$ FQI
where, FQI = Faculty qualification index
$=(10 x+6 y+2 z 0) / N 2$
such that, $x+y+z 0 \leq N 2$; and $z 0 \leq z$
where, $x \quad=$ Number of faculty members with PhD
$y \quad=$ Number of faculty members with ME / M Tech
$z \quad=$ Number of faculty members with B.E / B. Tech

|  |  | $X$ |  | $y$ |  | Z |  | $N$ |  | FQI |  | Assessment |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CAYm2 | 4 |  | 7 |  | 0 |  | 11 |  | 7.45 |  | 44.7 |  |
| CAYm1 | 6 |  | 6 |  | 0 |  | 12 |  | 8 |  | 48 |  |
| CAY | 7 |  | 6 |  | 0 |  | 13 |  | 8.15 |  | 48.9 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Average assessment |  |  |  |  | 47.2 |  |

5.4. Faculty Competencies correlation to Programme Specific Criteria (15)
(Provide evidence that program curriculum satisfies the applicable programme criteria specified by the appropriate American professional associations such as ASME, IEEE and ACM. You may list the programme specific criteria and the competencies (specialisation, research publication, course developments etc.,) of faculty to correlate the programme specific criteria and competencies)

The department has highly qualified faculty to support our programme and provide future direction. All faculty members hold post graduate degree from institution of repute such as NITs, IITs and Universities abroad and posses a minimum of two to three years of experience. Many of the faculty members hold PhD degree and have teaching and research experience of decades having served in institution of national and international repute. In additional to the departmental faculty, our programme is supported by a highly qualified faculty in sciences and humanities.

Our department has formed a number of research groups based on the individual faculty profiles and programme requirements as well as the interdisciplinary research avenues. These research groups besides providing focus on research projects, encourage group interaction, develop new courses and labs and provide future direction for teaching and research activities. Listed below are seven research groups that are currently active in the department covering most of the topics listed in IEEE/ACM curriculum.

The various research groups are:

| Research Groups \& topics |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| 1. Ubiquitous Computing | Dr Yashwant Singh |
| a. Embedded systems | Mr. Punit Gupta |
| b. Internet of things | Ms. Reema Aswani |
| c. Pervasive computing | Mr. Ravindara Bhatt |
| d. Context aware computing | Ms. Nishtha Ahuja |
| e. Smart devices | Ms. Ansuyia Makroo |
| f. Interactive white boards | Ms. Komal Mahajan |
| g. Natural user interface | Mr. Shailendra Shukla, |
| h. RFID | Dr. P.K. Gupta |
| i. Human Computer Interaction | Dr Sakshi Babbar |
| j. Wearable devices | Dr. RMK Sinha |
|  | Dr. Vivek Sehgal |
|  | (coordinator) |
|  |  |
| 2. Machine Intelligence | Dr.Pooja Jain |
| a. Computer Vision, Graphics and Image Processing | Ms. Reema Aswani |
| b. Robotic intelligence | Dr. Pardeep Kumar |
| c. Natural language and Speech processing | Mr. Amit Kumar Singh |
| d. Expert systems and knowledge based systems | Dr. Rajni Mohana |
| e. Evolutionary computing | Ms. Sanjana Singh |
| f. Machine learning | Mr. Suman Saha |
| g. Pattern recognition and classification | Dr. RMK Sinha |
| h. Biometrics | (coordinator) |
| i. Information retrieval and unstructured data mining |  |
| j. Intelligence gathering based on social media |  |
| k. Soft computing |  |


|  |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| 3. Databases and Distributed Systems | Ms. Reema Aswani |
| . DBMS | Dr. Pardeep Kumar |
| b. Big data | Ms. Sanjana Singh |
| c. Distributed databases | Ms. Ansuyia Makroo |
| d. Data warehousing | Ms. Komal Mahajan |
| e. Data mining | Mr. Suman Saha |
| f. Social media data analysis (trends, rumors, gossips) | Dr. Deepak Dahiya |
|  | (coordinator) |
| 4. Systems and Network Security |  |
| a. Cryptography | Dr. Hemraj Saini |
| b. Network security | Ms. Ramanpreet Kaur |
| c. Information security | Mr. Arvind Kumar |
| d. Fraud and identity theft | Mr. Amit Kumar Singh |
| e. Malware, Spyware, Worms, Viruses | Ms. Sanjana Singh |
| f. Cyber laws | Mr. Amol Vasudeva |
|  | Mr. Shailendra Shukla |
|  | Dr Yashwant Singh |
| Dr. S P Ghrera (coordinator) |  |
| 5. Computer Systems and Networks |  |
| a. Computer Architecture | Dr. Hemraj Saini |
| b. Compilers | Mr. Punit Gupta |
| c. Operating Systems | Ms. Nishtha Ahuja |
| d. Grid computing | Dr. Deepak Dahiya |
| e. High performance computing | Mr. Ravindara Bhatt |
| f. Mobile Computing | Dr. P.K. Gupta |
| g. Cloud computing | Dr. Vivek Sehgal |
| h. Heterogeneous Networks | Mr. Amol Vasudeva |
| i. Wireless Sensor Networks | Mr. Shailendra Shukla |
|  | Dr SP Ghrera |


| j. Networks protocols | Ms Ruchi Verma Dr Yashwant Singh Dr Nitin Chanderwal (coordinator) |
| :---: | :---: |
| k. Green Computing |  |
| I. Storage Networks |  |
| m. Networks management |  |
| n. Software Defined Networking |  |
| 6. Software Engineering and Information Systems | Dr. Deepak Dahiya <br> Dr. Rajni Mohana <br> Ms. Ansuyia Makroo <br> Ms.Komal Mahajan, <br> Dr. Pooja Jain <br> Mr. Punit Gupta <br> Dr. P.K. Gupta (coordinator) |
| a. Software Architecture \& Frameworks |  |
| b. Service Oriented Architecture |  |
| c. Aspect Oriented Programming |  |
| d. Agile Methodology |  |
| e. Software Agents |  |
| f. Software Testing |  |
| g. File Systems |  |
| h. Information systems management |  |
|  |  |
| 7. Algorithms and Parallel Computing | Mr. Suman Saha <br> Mr. Amol Vasudeva <br> Mr. Arvind Kumar <br> Mr. Punit Gupta <br> Mr. Shailendra Shukla (coordinator) |
| a. Combinatorial algorithms |  |
| b. Randomized algorithms |  |
| c. Parallel and Distributed Algorithms |  |
| d. Distributed Synchronization |  |
| e. Self-stabilizing Algorithms |  |
| f. Automata |  |
| g. Theory of Computation |  |
| h. Programming languages |  |
|  |  |

5.5. Faculty as participants/resource persons in faculty development/training activities (15)
(Instruction: A faculty member scores maximum five points for a participation/resource person.)
Participant/resource person in two week faculty development programme: 5 points Participant/resource person in one week faculty development programme: 3 Points

|  |  | Max. 5 per faculty |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Name of the faculty |  | 2011-12 | 2012-13 | 2013-14 | CAY |
| Dr. Yashwant Singh |  | 5 | 5 | 5 |  |
| Dr. Nitin |  | 3 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Prof. Deepak Dahiya |  | 5 | 5 | 5 |  |
| Dr. Pardeep Kumar |  | 3 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Mr Amol Vasudeva |  | 3 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Prof. S.P.Ghrera |  | 5 | 5 | 5 |  |
| Rajesh Siddavattam |  | 5 | 0 | 0 |  |
| R K Bajaj |  | 5 | 0 | 0 |  |
| G Singh |  | 3 | 0 | 0 |  |
| PK Gupta |  | 3 | 3 | 3 |  |
| Dr. Hemraj Saini |  | 0 | 0 | 5 |  |
| Arvind Kumar |  | 0 | 0 | 3 |  |
| Ramanpreet |  | 0 | 0 | 3 |  |
|  | Sum | 37 | 18 | 29 |  |
| $N$ (Number of faculty positions required for an STR 15) |  | 26 | 24 | 24 |  |
| Assessment $=3 \times$ Sum $/ \mathrm{N}$ |  | 4.27 | 2.25 | 3.62 |  |
|  |  |  |  | Average assessment | 3.38 |

Find Table in Appendix-D

### 5.6. Faculty Retention (15)

```
Assessment
= 3 × RPI/N
where RPI
= Retention point index
= Points assigned to all
faculty members
```

where points assigned to a faculty member $=1$ point for each year of experience at the institute but not exceeding 5 .

| Item | CAYm3 | CAYm2 | CAYm1 | CAY |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number of faculty members with experience of less than year (x0) | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 |
| Number of faculty members with 1 to 2 years experience ( $x 1$ ) | 3 | 1 | 0 | 2 |
| Number of faculty members with 2 to 3 years experience ( $x 2$ ) | 2 | 2 | 1 | 0 |
| Number of faculty members with 3 to 4 years experience ( $x 3$ ) | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 |
| Number of faculty members with 4 to 5 years experience ( $x 4$ ) | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 |
| Number of faculty members with more than 5 years experience ( $x 5$ ) | 3 | 4 | 5 | 8 |
| N | 11 | 11 | 12 | 13 |
| RPI $=x 1+2 x 2+3 x 3+4 x 4+5 \times 5$ |  | 35 | 41 | 53 |
| Assessment |  | 9.45 | 10.25 | 12.23 |
| Average assessment |  |  |  | 10.64 |

### 5.7. Faculty Research Publications (FRP) (20)

Assessment of FRP $=4 \times($ Sum of the research publication points scored by each faculty member) $/ N$
(Instruction: A faculty member scores maximum five research publication points depending upon the quality of the research papers and books published in the past three years.)

The research papers considered are those i.e. (i ) which can be located on Internet and/or are included in hard-copy volumes/proceedings, published by reputed publishers, and (ii) the faculty member's affiliation, in the published papers/books, is of the current institution.

Include a list of all such publications and IPRs along with details of DOI, publisher, month/year, etc.

## List of Publication is included in Appendix-E

| Name of the faculty (contributing to FRP) | FRP points (max. 5 per faculty) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | CAYm3 | CAYm2 | CAYm1 | CAY |
| Vivek Sehgal | 5 | 5 | 5 | 2 |
| Ravindara Bhatt | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Brig. (Retd.) S.P. Ghrera | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 |
| Nitin Rakesh | 5 | 5 | 5 | 2 |
| Komal Mahajan | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Ansuyia Makroo | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Wajid | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Dr. Pradeep Chauhan | 5 | 5 | 5 | 2 |
| Dr. Shruti Jain | 5 | 5 | 5 | 2 |
| Triambica Gautam | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Neha Aggarwal | 1 | 1 | 4 | 0 |
| Dr. Rakesh Bajaj | 2 | 5 | 5 | 1 |
| Ramanpreet Kaur | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 |
| Ravinder Ahuja | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Arvind Kumar | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |


| Dr. Rajesh Kumar | 2 | 2 | 5 | 0 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sum | 27 | 33 | 43 | 13 |
| $N$ (Number of faculty positions <br> required for an STR of 15 ) |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Assessment of FRP $=4 \times$ Sum $/ N$ |  | 12 | 14.33 | 4 |
|  |  | Average assessment | 10.11 |  |

### 5.8. Faculty Intellectual Property Rights (FIPR) (10)

Assessment of FIPR $=2 \times$ (Sum of the FIPR points scored by each faculty member) $/ N$ (Instruction: A faculty member scores maximum five FIPR points each year. FIPR includes awarded national/international patents, design, and copyrights.)

| Name of faculty member (contributing to FIPR) |  | FIPR points (max. 5 per faculty member) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | CAYm2 | CAYm1 | CAY |
| ............. |  | NIL | NIL | NIL |
|  | Sum | NIL |  |  |
|  | $N$ |  |  |  |
| Assessment of FIPR $=2 \times$ Sum $/ N$ |  | Average assessment |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Nil |

### 5.9. Funded R\&D Projects and Consultancy (FRDC) Work (20)

Assessment of R\&D and consultancy projects $=4 \times($ Sum of FRDC by each faculty member)//N
(Instruction: A faculty member scores maximum 5 points, depending upon the amount.) A suggested scheme is given below for a minimum amount of Rs. 1 lakh:
Five points for funding by national agency, Four points for funding by state agency,
Four points for funding by private sector, and

Two points for funding by the sponsoring trust/society.

| Name of faculty member (contributing to FRDC) |  | FRDC points (max. 5 per faculty member) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ontributing to FRDC) | 2011-12 | 2012-13 | 2013-14 |
| G Singh |  | 5 | 5 | NIL |
| Sunil Kumar Kha |  | 5 | 5 | 5 |
|  | Sum | 10 | 10 | 10 |
|  |  | 26 | 24 | 24 |
| Assessment of FRDC $=4 \times$ Sum $/ \mathrm{N}$ |  | 1.54 | 1.67 | 1.67 |
|  |  | Average Assessment |  | 1.62 |

*Three faculty members namely Dr. Yashwant Singh, Dr. Rajni Mohana and Dr. Hemraj Saini has submitted the research proposal to DST, DRDO and department of IT Government of India, result awaited.

### 5.10. Faculty Interaction with Outside World (10)

FIP = Faculty interaction points
Assessment $=2 \times($ Sum of FIP by each faculty member $) / N$
(Instruction: A faculty member gets maximum five interaction points, depending upon the type of institution or R\&D laboratory or industry, as follows)Five points for interaction with a reputed institution abroad, institution of eminence in India, or national research laboratories,

Three points for interaction with institution/industry (not covered earlier).
Points to be awarded, for those activities, which result in joint efforts in publication of books/research paper, pursuing externally funded R\&D / consultancy projects and/or development of semester-long course / teaching modules.

| Name of faculty member (contributing to FIP) |  | FIP |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2011-12 | 2012-13 | 2013-14 |
| Ansuyia Makroo |  | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| Dr. Nitin |  | 5 | 0 | 0 |
| Prof. Deepak Dahiya |  | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| G Singh |  | 5 | 3 | 0 |
| Sunil Kha |  | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| Komal Mahajan |  | 0 | 0 | 5 |
|  | Sum | 20 | 13 | 20 |
|  | N | 26 | 24 | 24 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Assessment of FIP $=2 \times$ Sum $/ \mathrm{N}$ |  | 1.53 | 1.08 | 1.67 |
| Average assessment |  |  |  | 1.42 |

*Dr. Nitin, First Tier Bank Professor (Distinguished Adjunct Professor), University of Nebraska at Omaha, Omaha, USA, (December 2010 to May 2011).
*Prof. Deepak Dahiya, Intelligent Agents Lab, School of CS and IT, RMIT University, Melbourne, Australia as Visiting Researcher (May to July 2011).

## 6. Facilities and Technical Support (75)

Description of classrooms, faculty rooms, seminar, and conference halls: (Entries in the following table are sampler entries)
$\left.\begin{array}{|l|l|l|l|l|l|}\hline & \text { No.of Rooms } & & & & \begin{array}{l}\text { Rooms equipped } \\ \text { with PC, } \\ \text { Internet, Book } \\ \text { rack, meeting }\end{array} \\ \text { space, etc. }\end{array}\right]$

### 6.1. Classrooms in the Department (20)

6.1.1. Adequate number of rooms for lectures (core/electives), seminars, tutorials, etc., for the program (10)
(Instruction: Assessment based on the information provided in the preceding table.)
Adequate numbers of rooms for lectures core/electives), seminars, tutorials, etc., for the program are available as given in the table.
6.1.2. Teaching aids-multimedia projectors, etc. (5)
(Instruction: List the various teaching aids available)
All lecture theatres, classrooms and tutorial rooms are equipped with
i. LCD Projector
ii. Net connected PC
iii. White board
iv. Audio system in large sized lecture theatres
v. Table top projector
6.1.3. Acoustics, classroom size, conditions of chairs/benches, air circulation, lighting, exits, ambience, and such other amenities/facilities (5)
(Instruction: Assessment based on the information provided in the preceding table and the inspection thereof.)

| Acoustics | Excellent |
| :--- | :--- |
| Classroom size | Adequate |
| Condition of <br> chair/benches | Well maintained |
| Air circulation | Excellent |
| lighting | Excellent |
| Exits | Adequate |
| Ambience | Excellent |
| Audio Visual <br> aids | Well maintained |

6.2. Faculty Rooms in the Department (15)
6.2.1. Availability of individual faculty rooms (5)
(Instruction: Assessment based on the information provided in the preceding table.)
All faculty members have been provided individual rooms. All the rooms have internet connection.
6.2.2. Room equipped with white/black board, computer, Internet, and such other amenities/facilities (5)
(Instruction: Assessment based on the information provided in the preceding table)
All the faculty members are provided with individual cabins with appropriate furniture-3 cushioned chairs, one large table, one computer table, one almirah, one side cabinet with drawers. Adequate lighting and fans are provided. One PC with internet connection is provided to each faculty member. Printing facility is also provided.
6.2.3. Usage of room for counselling/discussion with students (5)
(Instruction: Assessment based on the information provided in the preceding table and the inspection thereof.)
Discussion/Counselling of a few students are done in the faculty cabins. For bigger groups, classroom/tutorials rooms/group discussion rooms are used. The following table is required for the subsequent criteria.

The following table is required for the subsequent criteria:

| Laboratory <br> description in <br> the curriculum | Exclusive use <br> /shared | Space, <br> number of <br> students | Number of <br> experiments | Quality of <br> instruments | Laboratory <br> manuals |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Introduction to computers and C <br> programming language | Shared | 30 | 20 | Excellent | Available |
| Data Structure \& Computer <br> Programming Lab | Shared | 30 | 11 | Excellent | Available |
| Algorithms Lab | Shared | 30 | 20 | Excellent | Available |
| Multimedia Development Lab 1 | exclusive | 30 | 5 | Excellent | Available |
| Object Oriented Programming <br> Lab | Shared | 30 | 28 | Excellent | Available |
| Computer Networks Lab | exclusive | 30 | 16 | Excellent | Available |
| Compiler Design Lab | Shared | 30 | 11 | Excellent | Available |
| Unix Programming Lab | exclusive | 30 | 18 | Excellent | Available |
| Operating System Lab | Shared | 30 | 20 | Excellent | Available |
| Software Testing \& Debugging <br> Lab | Shared | 30 | 10 | Excellent | Available |
| Software System Lab1 | Shared | 30 | 20 | Excellent | Available |
| System \& Network <br> Programming Lab | Shared | 30 | 19 | Excellent | Available |
| Software Engineering Lab | Shared | 30 | 11 | Excellent | Available |
| Computer Graphics Lab | exclusive | 30 | 19 | Excellent | Available |
| Data Mining Lab | Shared | 30 | 10 | Excellent | Available |
| MicroProcessors \& Controller <br> Lab | exclusive | 30 | 12 | Excellent | Available |
| Information Systems Lab | Shared | 30 | 10 | Excellent | Available |

6.3. Laboratories in the Department to meet the Curriculum Requirements and the POs (25)
6.3.1. Adequate, well-equipped laboratories to meet the curriculum requirements and the POs (10)
(Instruction: Assessment based on the information provided in the preceding table.)
All the labs in the department are very well equipped with hardware and software has required to conduct all the experiments as per the curriculum and beyond. Listed below are laboratories dedicated to different tasks and facilities:

| Lab | Functions |
| :---: | :---: |
| CL1 | B.TECH PROJECT LAB ECE |
|  | M.TECH PROJECT LAB ECE |
|  | CONTROL SYSTEM |
|  | SIMULATION LAB |
| CL2 | BIO- INFORMATICS LAB |
| CL3 | DIGITAL SIGNAL PROCESSING LAB |
|  | COMPUTER PROGRAMMING LAB |
|  | JAVA PROGRAMMING LAB |
| CL4 | MULTIMEDIA DEVELOPMENT LAB-3 |
|  | MICROPROCESSOR AND CONTROLLERS LAB |
|  | SIGNALS AND SYSTEMS |
| CL5 | DBMS LAB |
|  | COMPUTER PROGRAMMING LAB |
|  | MULTIMEDIA DEVELOPMENT LAB-1 |
| CL6 | OOSP LAB |
|  | SOFTWARE TESTING AND DEBUGGING LAB |
|  | SOFTWARE SYSTEM LAB-1 |
|  | ALGORITHM LAB |
| CL7 | B.TECH PROJECT LAB CSE AND IT |
|  | M.TECH PROJECT LAB CSE AND IT |
|  | RESEARCH LAB |


| CL8 | COMPUTER PROGRAMMING LAB |
| :---: | :--- |
|  | JAVA PROGRAMMING LAB |
|  | WEB TECHNOLOGY LAB |
| CL9 | ORACLE |
|  | UNIX LAB |

6.3.2. Availability of computing facilities in the department (5)
(Instruction: Assessment based on the information provided in the preceding table.)
We have 572 computers installed in different labs details of which are given in Appendix-F
6.3.3. Availability of laboratories with technical support within and beyond working hours (5)
(Instruction: Assessment based on the information provided in the preceding table.)
We have well qualified trained technical support staff available during working hours and beyond (as and when required).
Students working on independent projects are permitted to use the laboratories beyond working hours.
6.3.4. Equipment to run experiments and their maintenance, number of students per experimental setup, size of the laboratories, overall ambience, etc. (5)
(Instruction: Assessment based on the information provided in the preceding table.)
Equipment maintenance=Excellent, Number of students per experimental setup=one,
Size of the laboratories= Excellent,
Overall ambience= Excellent
6.4. Technical Manpower Support in the Department (15)

| Name of the technical staff | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Designation } \\ & \text { (pay-scale) } \end{aligned}$ | Exclusiv e / shared work | Date of joining | Qualification <br> At <br> Joining | Now | Other technical skills gained | Responsibility |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sh Ashok Kishtwal | Sr. Lab Tech. (11000-700-25000) | Exclusive | 16/09/2005 | 3 yrs. Diploma in Electronics Engineering, B.com, | A.M.I.E.T.E ( <br> Computer Science \& Engineering) | MATLAB, 'C' <br> Programming, <br> Microprocessors <br>  <br> Microcontroller <br> Programming <br> Lab | C Programming Lab. |
| Sh. Hardeep Rana | Lab Tech. $(8000-500-18000)$ | Shared | 1/10/2006 | B.com,MS-CIT,one year computer software Diploma, | M.C.A (pursuing) |  | Maintainance of Softwrae and Computer Systems. |
| Sh. Vineet Paliwal | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Jr. Lab Asstt. } \\ & (4500-250-9500) \end{aligned}$ | Shared | 21/01/2011 | B.com, RHCT,MCP, <br> Hardware and Networking Diploma | PGDCA (pursuing) |  | Maintenance of Auditorium equipments, projectors of LTs, CRs, TRs etc. Troubleshoot the problems of audio systems in CRs,LTs and TRs. |
| Sh.Vijay Sharma | Lab Tech. (8000-500- 18000) | Exclusive | 17/9/2004 | APGDIT,A LEVEL | MCA | - | Computer Graphics Lab. And Microprocessor and Controller Lab. |
| Sh. Ranvijay Singh | Lab Asst. | shared | 01/02/2009 | ADCA | PGDCA | - | Maintainance of Softwrae and Computer Systems. |
| Sh. Shiv K Gupta | Sr. Lab Tech. (11000-700- 25000) | Exclusive | 01-10-2003 | M. Sc (Maths), PGDCA | M. Phil | Attended workshop at IIT <br> Kanpur on <br> Architecture of Cloud <br> Computing | Operating System Lab and Unix Programming lab. |


| Sh. Rohit Sharma | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sr. Lab Tech. } \\ & (11000-700-25000) \end{aligned}$ | Exclusive | 19-02-2007 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MCA, MSc.(IT), PGDCA, } \\ & \text { PGDBI, GNIIT } \end{aligned}$ | M.Phil.(CS) | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { PHP, MYSQL, } \\ \text { WEB } \\ \text { Technologies, } \\ \text { Object Oriented } \\ \text { Programming, } \\ \text { Photoshop, } \\ \text { MATLAB, etc } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Maintaining All Web related work Including University Website. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sh. Mohan Sharma (on Deputation ECE Dept.) | Lab Assitt $(5200-375-12700)$ | shared | 03/03/2009 | CCNA <br> Diploma in hardware \& networking B.com | RHCE | MCP <br> Exchange server | Maintainance of Softwrae and Computer Systems. |
| Sh. Amit K. Srivastava | Lab Tech. (8000-500-18000) | Exclusive | 12-08-2010 | MCA | M. Phil | IBM Certified <br> Associate <br> Developer -- <br> Rational <br> Application <br> Developer for <br> Web Sphere <br> Software V6.0 | 1. Maintaining Project Lab <br> 2. Maintaining the attendance of M. Tech, and B. Tech Final Year (Project Attendance) |
| Ms. Anshul Sood | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Lab Tech. } \\ & (8000-500-18000) \end{aligned}$ | Exclusive | 15/04/2009 | MCA, MA Economics | MCA, MA Economics | Diploma in ecommerce, <br> Diploma in Information and Systems Management | To develop, design and maintain the conferences websites. |
| Mr. Rajesh Sahu | Jr.LabAsst | Shared | 1/12/2010 | Three year polytechnic diploma in computer science and engg.,BCA |  | Auto CAD | Maintainance of Softwrae and Computer Systems. |
| Mr. Ravi Raina | Jr.LabAss | Shared | 1/12/2010 | Three year polytechnic diploma in computer science and engg. | - | - | Maintainance of Softwrae and Computer Systems. |

6.4.1. Availability of adequate and qualified technical supporting staff for programme- specific laboratories (10)
(Instruction: Assessment based on the information provided in the preceding table.)
Well qualified technical staff is available in all the labs as evident from the above table
6.4.2. Incentives, skill-upgrade, and professional advancement (5)
(Instruction: Assessment based on the information provided in the preceding table.)
Support staff are encouraged to acquire higher education and undergo training. These are taken into account in their annual appraisal.

## 7. Academic Support Units and Teaching -Learning Process (75)

Students' Admission
Admission intake (for information only)

| Item | CAY | CAYm1 | CAYm2 | CAYm3 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Sanctioned intake strength in the institute ( $N$ ) | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 |
| Number of students admitted on merit basis <br> $(N 1)$ | 22 | 25 | 59 | 37 |
| Number of students admitted on management <br> quota/otherwise (N2) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total number of admitted students in the <br> institute ( $N 1+N 2$ ) | 22 | 25 | 59 | 37 |

(Instruction: The intake of the students during the last three years against the sanctioned capacity may be reported here.)

Admission quality (for information only)
Divide the total admitted ranks (or percentage marks) into five or a few more meaningful ranges

| Percentile | CAY | CAYm1 | CAYm2 |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $98-100$ | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| $95-97$ | 0 | 5 | 10 |
| $90-94$ | 8 | 8 | 20 |
| $85-89$ | 8 | 7 | 19 |
| $80-84$ | 6 | 5 | 4 |


| Total | 22 | 25 | 59 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

(Instruction: The admission quality of the students in terms of their ranks in the entrance examination may be presented here.)
Tabular data for estimating student-teacher ratio and faculty qualification for first year common courses

List of faculty members teaching first year courses: year 2013-2014

| Name of Faculty member | Qualification | Designation | Date of joining the institution | Department with which associated | Distribution of teaching load (\%) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | 1st year | UG | PG |
| Dr. Vivek Sehgal | PhD | Associate Prof. | 1-Aug-03 | CSE \& IT | 100 | 100 |  |
| Dr. Rakesh Bajaj | PhD | Assistant <br> Professor-SG | 01-05-2003 | Maths | 100 | 100 |  |
| Dr. Rajesh Kumar | PhD | Assistant Professor-II | April, 2004 | Physics | 100 | 100 |  |
| Neha <br> Aggarwal | MBA | Assistant Professor-II | 22-july-2010 | HSS | 50 | 100 |  |

(Instruction: The institution may list here the faculty members engaged in first
year teaching along with other relevant data.)

List of faculty members teaching first year courses: year 2012-2013

| Name of | Qualification | Designation | Date of joining the institution | Department with which associated | Distribution of teaching load (\%) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | 1st year | UG | PG |
| Dr. Vivek Sehgal | PhD | Associate Prof. | 1-Aug-03 | CSE \& IT | 100 | 100 |  |
| Dr. Rakesh Bajaj | PhD | Assistant <br> Professor-SG | 01-05-2003 | Maths | 100 | 100 |  |
| Dr. Rajesh Kumar | PhD | Assistant <br> Professor-II | April, 2004 | Physics | 100 | 100 |  |


| Neha <br> Aggarwal | MBA | Assistant <br> Professor-II | 22-july-2010 |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

List of faculty members teaching first year courses: year 2011-2012

| Name of Faculty member | Qualification | Designation | Date of joining the institution | Department with which associated | Distribution of teaching load (\%) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | 1st year | UG | PG |
| Dr. Vivek Sehgal | PhD | Associate Prof. | 1-Aug-03 | CSE \& IT | 100 | 100 |  |
| Dr. <br> Rakesh <br> Bajaj | PhD | Assistant Professor-SG | 01-05-2003 | Maths | 100 | 100 |  |
| Dr. Rajesh Kumar | PhD | Assistant Professor-II | April, 2004 | Physics | 100 | 100 |  |
| Neha <br> Aggarwal | MBA | Assistant <br> Professor-II | 22-july-2010 | HSS | 50 | 100 |  |

List of faculty members teaching first year courses: year 2010-2011

| Name of Faculty member | Qualification | Designation | Date of joining the institution | Department with which associated | Distribution of teaching load (\%) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | 1st year | UG | PG |
| Dr. Vivek Sehgal | PhD | Associate Prof. | 1-Aug-03 | CSE \& IT | 100 | 100 |  |
| Dr. <br> Rakesh <br> Bajaj | PhD | Assistant Professor-SG | 01-05-2003 | Maths | 100 | 100 |  |
| Dr. Rajesh <br> Kumar | PhD | Assistant Professor-II | April, 2004 | Physics | 100 | 100 |  |
| Neha | MBA | Assistant | 22-july-2010 | HSS | 50 | 100 |  |


| Aggarwal | Professor-II |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

### 7.1. Academic Support Units (35)

7.1.1. Assessment of First Year Student Teacher Ratio (FYSTR) (10)

Data for first year courses to calculate the FYSTR:

| Year | Number of <br> students (approved <br> intake strength) | Number of <br> faculty members <br> (considering <br> fractional load) | FYSTR | Assessment $=$ <br> $(10 \times 15) /$ FYSTR <br> (Max. is 10) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| CAYm2 | 59 | 4 | 14.75 | 10 |
| CAYm1 | 25 | 4 | 6.25 | 10 |
| CAY | 22 | 4 | 5.5 | 10 |
| Average |  | 10 |  |  |

7.1.2. Assessment of Faculty Qualification Teaching First Year Common Courses (15)

Assessment of Qualification $=3 \mathrm{X}\left(5 \mathrm{x}+3 \mathrm{y}+2 \mathrm{z}_{0}\right) / \mathrm{N}$, where $\mathrm{x}+\mathrm{y}+\mathrm{z}_{0} \leq \mathrm{N}$ and $\mathrm{z}_{0} \leq \mathrm{z}$
$x=$ Number of faculty members with PhD
$y=$ Number of faculty members with ME/M. Tech. /NET-Qualified/M. Phil. Number of faculty members with BE/B.
$z=$ Tech./MSc/MCA/MA
$N=$ Number of faculty members needed for FYSTR of 25

| Year | $x$ | $y$ |  |  | Assessment of <br> faculty <br> qualification |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| CAYm2 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 13.5 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| CAYm1 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 13.5 |
| CAY | 3 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 13.5 |
| Average assessment of faculty qualification |  |  |  | 13.5 |  |

7.1.3. Basic science/engineering laboratories (adequacy of space, number of students per batch, quality and availability of measuring instruments, laboratory manuals, list of experiments) (8)

| Laboratory <br> description | Space, <br> number of <br> students | 30 | Software <br> used | Yes | Type of <br> experiments |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Physics Lab | Matlab | All required | Quality of <br> instruments | Excellent <br> Laboratory <br> manuals |  |
| Basic Electronics Lab | 30 | Excellent | Available |  |  |
| Microprocessor <br> Controller Lab | 30 | Keil | All required | Excellent | Available |
| Computer Network Lab. | 30 | Free Tools | All required | Excellent | Available |
| Computer Graphics Lab. | 30 | Tpen GL | All required | Excellent | Available |
| Compiler Design Lab. | 30 | Turbo C | All required | Excellent | Available |
| Software Testing and <br> Debuging Lab. | 30 | Flash/PHP etc. | All required | Excellent | Available |
| Web Technology lab. | 30 | Visual Paradigm | All required | Excellent | Available |
| Software Engineering <br> lab. | 30 | Flash PHP etc. | All required | Excellent | Available |
| Multimedia <br> Development lab. | 30 | Turbo C | All required | Excellent | Available |
| Algorithm Lab. | 30 | Matlab | All required | Excellent | Available |
| Signal and System Lab. | 30 |  |  |  |  |

(Instruction: The institution needs to mention the details for the basic science/engineering laboratories for the first year courses. The descriptors as listed here are suggestive in nature.)
7.1.4. Language laboratory (2)
(Instruction: The institution may provide the details of the language laboratory. The descriptors as listed here are not exhaustive).

| Language Laboratory | Space, number of students | Software used | Type of experiments | Quality of instruments | Guidance |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| English language lab. | 30 | Sky Pro | Pronunciation practices | Excellent | Provided by Faculty |
|  |  | Tense Buster | Grimmer Practices | Excellent | Provided by Faculty |
|  |  | Connected Speech | Connected Speech Practices | Excellent | Provided by Faculty |

Department of Humanities and Social Sciences has a Language laboratory which is used by all the students of university.

### 7.2. Teaching - Learning Process (40)

7.2.1. Tutorial classes to address student questions: size of tutorial classes, hours per subject given in the timetable (5)
(Instruction: Here the institution may report the details of the tutorial classes that are being conducted on various subjects and also state the impact of such tutorial classes).
Provision of tutorial classes in timetable: YES
Tutorial sheets provided: YES
Tutorial classes taken by faculty / teaching assistants / senior students / others: Faculty/Teaching Assistant
Number of tutorial classes per subject per week: 1 Number of students per tutorial class: $\mathbf{3 0}$
Number of subjects with tutorials: 1st year :8; 2nd year: 8; 3rd year: 10; 4th year: $\mathbf{0}$.
Tutorials are conducted by the faculty members and teaching assistants, exercises are given that are solved by the students during the tutorial hours with the guidance of faculty members. Overall questions are asked and sometimes short quizzes are also held.

The tutorials help the students in
I. Developing better understanding of the subjects
II. Clarifying their doubts that could not be taken up during lectures
III. Problem solving abilities

### 7.2.2. Mentoring system to help at individual levels (5)

(Instruction: Here the institution may report the details of the mentoring system that has been developed for the students for various purposes and also state the efficacy of such system).

Mentoring System: Yes
Type of mentoring: Total development
Number of faculty mentors: 25
Number of students per mentor: 7
Frequency of meeting: 1/Month

### 7.2.3. Feedback analysis and reward / corrective measures taken, if any (5)

Feedback collected for all courses: YES
Specify the feedback collection process: Feedback is collected at end of each semester in the format attached for lecture/tutorial and lab. It is collected by the teacher who is not teaching the course for which feedback is obtained.

Percentage of students participating: 85\% or more.
Specify the feedback analysis process: University has developed software to analyse the feedback. The data entry is done by the administrative office. The reports are given to Vice Chancellor for further action.
Basis of reward / corrective measures, if any: The Vice Chancellor and HOD discuss the feedback reports with each faculty member and appropriate action/counselling is done to improve on teaching. The feedback obtained is also entered in appraisal report of teacher concerned and is taken as one of the factor at the time of promotion. The feedback report is also one of the factor for revising the course contents.

Number of corrective actions taken in the last three years: 3
(Instruction: The institution needs to design an effective feedback questionnaire. It needs to justify that the feedback mechanism it has developed really helps in evaluating teaching and finally contributing to the quality of teaching).

## STUDENT FEEDBACK FORM

For Lecture \& Tutorial Teaching

Subject Name_ $\qquad$ Subject Code $\qquad$
Semester, $\qquad$ Year Name of Facuity $\qquad$
Respond against each item using the following parameters wherever applicable.
Excellent [E]: Very Good [V]: Good [G]: Satisfactory [S]: Unsatisfactory [U]

| S.No | TTEM | E | V | G | S | U |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | Teaching for the subject was |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2. | Coverage of the subject mauter was |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3. | Opportunity provided for asking questions in the class was |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4. | Delivery of lectures/tutorials was |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5. | Standard of the subject matter covered was |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6. | Emphasis on concepts and fundamentals was |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7. | Your learning of the subject has been |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8. | Usefilness of the subject to your career is |  |  |  |  |  |

9 Name threc topics of this subject yot learnt the best:
A]
B]
C]
10. Name three topics of this subjeet you could not learn to your satisfaction.

A]
B]
C]
11. Specific suggestions and comments on the subject and its teaching:

| S.No. | SUBJECT | TEACHING |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| A |  |  |
| B |  |  |
| C |  |  |

12. Any Other Comments:
[Use reverse side for more space. Plecase do not indicare your identity arywhere]

## STUDENT FEEDBACK FORM

Lab Course Name Lab Cod

Semester_ Year__ Name of Foculty

Lab Code.

Reopond against each hem using the following parameters wherever applicable
Excellent [E]: Very Good [V]: Good [G]; Satisfactory [S]; Unsatisfactory [U]

| S.No. | ITEM | E | V | G | S | U |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1. | Level \& Standard of experimenta/design <br> given |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2. | Usefulness of this Laboratory towards your <br> undersandine of theory |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3. | Usefulness of this Laboratory to your career |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4. | Status of the squipenents in the lab |  |  |  |  |  |

5. Number of experiments you could complete successfully:
6. Name the best experiment you performed:
7. Name the experiment you could not complese to your satisfaction:
8. Specific sugeestions and comment


Use reverse side for more spoce. Plecase do nor indicate your idemity anowhere]

### 7.2.4. Scope for self-learning (5)

(Instruction: The institution needs to specify the scope for self-learning / learning beyond syllabus and creation of facilities for self-learning / learning beyond syllabus.)
Students are given assignments and practical projects to promote self learning. University's learning resource centre, university LAN and internet resources help in self learning. In addition the B. Tech. projects in the final year also provide a good opportunity for self learning where students gain practical knowledge to achieve objectives of the projects by doing a state of art literature survey.

### 7.2.5. Generation of self-learning facilities, and availability of materials for learning beyond syllabus (5)

(Instruction: The institution needs to specify the facilities for self-learning / learning beyond syllabus.)
Self-learning is promoted in the University by generating a number of self-learning facilities. A number of events and contests are organized that motivate the students self learning. Guest lectures and industry presentations are held that promote self learning. Listed below are some of the self learning facilities at the university.

## Web-based Learning:

The internet is an open information system from where the students can obtain various kinds of information, media and materials of their interest. University provides internet facility in both the academic and hostel campuses for 24 hours to promote and motivate students to selflearning. The availability of internet facility allows them to learn and to gather the information from worldwide network without any interruptions. Many students surf the web in groups and hold group discussions providing exchange of information and knowledge.

## Learning with Multi-media:

The university provides the information related to various web-based learning sites such as NPTEL, MIT OPEN COURSEWARE, SCHOOL OF OPEN LEARNING, etc. To facilitate this, these course materials are made available on intranet. Digital Library facility and LCD projectors for presentations are also made available to promote group discussions and knowledge sharing

## Learning Resource Centre:

Learning Resource Centre, the University Library, is open upto midnight on all working days and has online search and reprographic facilties.

## Classroom Presentations:

Every course promotes classroom presentations by the students on any topic of interest related to curriculum.
Arranging presentation on non-technical topics:

## Technical Symposiums:

- Organizing annual events like, MURIOUS, and various contests.
- Organizing various events like poster presentation, debate, awareness, etc.
- Motivating students to participate in inter-college events for paper presentation and project exhibitions


## Provision for learning of French and German.

7.2.6. Career Guidance, Training, Placement, and Entrepreneurship Cell (5)
(Instruction: The institution may specify the facility and management to facilitate career guidance including counselling for higher studies, industry interaction for training/internship/placement, Entrepreneurship cell and incubation facility and impact of such systems)
The University has created the following facilities for career guidance:

- Full time Placement Officer.
- On campus training for placements.
- Companies are invited for campus placements.
- Guidance for preparing for GRE and GATE.


### 7.2.7. Co-curricular and Extra-curricular Activities (5)

(Instruction: The institution may specify the Co-curricular and extra-curricular activities, e.g., NCC/NSS, cultural activities, etc)

## Jaypee Youth Club

The JYC (JUIT Youth Club) is the official governing body of all student activities at JUIT which works for the holistic development of the students of the university and is an overall complete structure in itself that educates, entertains and supplements the growth of the students This is achieved through annual cultural, technical fests, various events, parties, treks, outings and other spontaneous activities to maintain high levels of enthusiasm and team integration. Focusing on technical, literary, sports, and cultural competitive activities, apart from serving as a retreat from intense academic loads, these extracurricular activities present with an opportunity that builds confidence, encourages teamwork and gives a strong sense of achievement and belonging to the students. Various activities are organized by the subbodies called Clubs that cater to the needs of each aspect of the society and life. JYC also organizes the participation of JUIT students in the cultural and sports activities of other institutes. JYC clubs / committees include:

- Cultural
- Event Management
- Technical
- Photography
- Environment
- Arts
- Movie
- Sports
- Literary
- Disciplinary
- Alumni
- Reverie Magazine
- Media \& Publicity
- Hospitality

| Post | Name |
| :---: | :---: |
| President | 1. Vasu Walia (BT) |
| Secretary | 1. Himanshi Wadhwani (CSE) |
| Treasurer | 1. Lucky Garg (Civil) |
| Cultural | 1. Aditya Sahni (BT) <br> 2. Aayshu Rani (ECE) |
| Event | 1. Karan Sharma (CSE) <br> 2. Shailendra Pratap Singh (ECE) |
| Technical | 1. Rajdeep Sharma (Civil) <br> 2. Shivi Bhatnagar (ECE) |
| Media \& Publicity | 1. Jatin (ECE) <br> 2. Swati Thakur (ECE) |
| Photography | 1. Medehavi Behl (BT) <br> 2. Pushp Bajaj (ECE) |
| Environment | 1. Jaya Khanna (ECE) <br> 2. Abhilasha Choudhary (ECE) <br> 3. Utsav Khandelwal (Civil) |
| Arts | 1. Taranum Mahajan (ECE) <br> 2. Tanmay Thakur (IT) |
| Movie | 1. Ridhima Gupta (CSE) <br> 2. Lakshit Singh Rawat (CSE) |
| Hospitality | 1. Shadali Singh (BT) <br> 2. Sikander Punia (CSE) <br> 3. Karan Bindra (ECE) |
| Sports | 1. Yashika Sama (BT) <br> 2. Panshul (CSE) |
| Literary | 1. Vani Deepak (ECE) <br> 2. Jagmit Sidhu (CSE) |
| Alumni | 1. Pratul Agarwal (CSE) <br> 2. Muskan Gupta (CSE) |
| Riverie Magazine | 1. Tavishi Dutt (ECE) |


| Club | Faculty <br> Coordinator- 1 | Department | Faculty Coordinator - 2 | Department |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Alumni <br> Affairs | Dr. SreeKrishna | Department of <br> BT \& BI | Narendra Kumar | Dept. of Mathematics |
| Arts Club | Ms. Vinita Rana | Dept. of ECE | Ms. Meenakshi Sood | Dept. of ECE |
| Cultural <br> Club | JYC (Faculty in <br> Charge) | Dept. of CSE | Ms. Mala Singh | Chief Warden (Girls) |
| Environment <br> Club | Dr. Sudhir Kumar | Department of <br> BT \& BI |  <br> Ms. Ramanpreet kaur | Dept. of CSE |
| Event <br> Management | Dr. Nitin | Dept. of CSE | Ms. Reema | Dept. of IT |
| Hospitality <br> Committee | Ms. Ruchi Verma | Dept. of CSE | Ms. Mala Singh | Chief Warden (Girls ) |
| Literary <br> Club | Dr. Rakesh Bajaj | Dept. of <br> Mathematics | Niraj Singh | Dept. of Civil |
| Media and <br> Publicity | JYC (Faculty-in- <br> charge) | Dept. of CSE | Dr. Hemant Sood | Dept. of BT \& BI |
| Movie Club | Dr. Amit <br> Srivastava | Dept. of <br> Humanities | Ms. Komal | Dept. of CSE |
| Photography <br> Club | Ms. Pragyaa <br> Gupta | Dept. of ECE | Ms. Nistha | Dept. of CSE |
| Sports Club | Dr. Rout | Department of <br> BT \& BI | Dr. SreeKrishna | Department of BT \& BI |
| Technical <br> Club | Dr. Sree Krishna | Department of <br> BT \& BI | Ms. Sanjana Singh | Dept. of CSE |




## TECH FEST: MURIOUS

IT'S THE ANNUAL TECHNICAL FESTIVAL OF JAYPEE UNIVERSITY OF INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY, ORGANIZED BY CREATECH - THE TECHNICAL CLUB OF JYC, AT JUIT.

WELL, YOU MIGHT BE WONDERING - WHY MURIOUS? HA, IT'S GOT YOUR CURIOSITY TOO! BECAUSE THAT'S EXACTLY WHAT IT STANDS FOR - I'M + CURIOUS = MURIOUS!!



## SPORTS FEST-PARAKRAM

IN THIS ERA OF COMPUTERIZATION AND GLOBALIZATION WHERE OUR LIVES HAVE BECOME SO STRESSFUL, SPORTS AND GAMES HAVE NOT JUST BECOME A GETAWAY FOR RECREATION, BUT THEY HAVE ALSO IMPARTED VALUES LIKE DISCIPLINE, RESPONSIBILITY, SELF-CONFIDENCE, SACRIFICE AND ACCOUNTABILITY.

SPONSORED BY SPORTS GIANTS LIKE NIVIA, PARAKRAM THE OPEN SPORTS FESTIVAL WILL BE HELD IN JUIT

ON NOVEMBER 21-23 2014, THE UNIVERSITY WILL ONCE AGAIN OPEN ITS GATES FOR THOUSANDS OF PARTICIPANTS FROM ESTEEMED INSTITUTES.

```
TEDX
```

Describing it just an ancillary to TED will be grave injustice, it is in fact a microcosm of TED in which, a screening of TED Talks videos - or a combination of live presenters and TED Talks videos will spark a good conversation and connections at the grass root level.

Our goal is to bring together bright minds to give talks that are idea-focused and on a wide range of subjects, to foster learning, inspiration and wonder and provoke conversations that matter. We have made it an immediate priority to focus on the stories of Incredible India and its people, projects and ideas.

| Event $\qquad$ <br> Personality Attribute | Cultural <br> / Arts | Technical | Environmen t | Sports | Literary | TEDxJUIT |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Leadership \& Team Work | Y | Y | Y | Y |  | Y |
| Socio-cultural | Y |  | Y |  | Y | Y |
| Inspiration | Y | Y | Y | Y |  | Y |
| Competitiveness | Y | Y |  | Y |  | Y |
| Communication skills | Y | Y |  | Y | Y | Y |
| Problem Solving |  | Y |  |  |  | Y |
| Creativity \& Innovation |  | Y |  |  |  | Y |
| Sensitivity | Y |  | Y |  | Y | Y |
| Ethics | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y |
| Knowledge |  | Y |  |  | Y | Y |
| Nature |  | $\mathbf{Y}$ | Y |  |  | $\mathbf{Y}$ |

## JUIT Student Branch of IEEE

IEEE the world's largest technical professional society is designed to serve professionals involved in the fields of electrical ,electronics, computer engineering and science and other related areas of science and technology viz.
biomedical technology, information technology, technical communications, micro and nanotechnology, aerospace systems etc.
A Student Branch of was established in (year?) and has been active throughout this period. During the Annual General Meeting of the IEEE Delhi section held on 19th April, 09 in Delhi, the Branch was awarded Outstanding Branch Counsellor Award : Mr Rohit Sharma, Sr. Lecturer, Department of Electronics and Communication Engineering J.K Pal Memorial Award: Esha Gupta (Enrolment No. 051247) Outstanding Volunteer Award: Aditya Patel (Enrolment No. 061204)

The Branch sponsored the following conferences till date:

1. International Conference on Parallel, Distributed and Grid Computing (PDGC-2010 / PDGC 2012 / PDGC 2014)
2. International Conference on Image Information Processing (ICIIP -2011 / ICIIP2013)

Annual General meeting of IEEE Region 10 for the year 2009 was held at Jaypee University of Information Technology.
IEEE technical festival was organized in November 2010 at JUIT for the IEEE student members of Region 10.
7.2.8. Games and Sports, facilities, and qualified sports instructors (5)
(Instruction: The institution may specify the facilities available and their usage in brief)

- Full time qualified sports instructor is in position.
- Facilities for the following sports exist:
- Basketball
- Volleyball
- Badminton
- Table tennis
- Gymnasium: Well equipped Gyms are provided in Boys and Girls Hostels
- Cricket (net practice only)
8.1.1 Maintenance of academic infrastructure and facilities (4)
(Instruction: Specify distinct features)


## Campus


 Group itself. Functionally and aesthetically spread out, the architectural plan builds on providing an intellectual ambience in clusters in an exciting landscape that is easy flowing and community-friendly.
 offices, and the library), Hostel accommodation for boys and girls, faculty residences (76), Guest House, Annapurna, Auditorium, Sports facilities, and other associated services have been developed.

Utility wise the built up area is as under:

## S. No. Particular

1 Academic Block - Vivekanad Bhawan
Hostels (Boys), Shastri Bhawan, Azad Bhawan and Parmar
Bhawan
Hostel (Girls)-Geeta Bhawan
Faculty Residences - Malviya Bhawan A-E

## Facilities

Annapurna
Auditorium, C. Link \& C. Porch

## Mandir

Dispensary
Basket Ball Field \& Volley Ball Field
Badminton Court Area
Total Facilities Area

## Miscellaneous

Guest House
Telephone Exchange
ESS
Plant Room/Green Room
Workers Dormitory-1
Workers Dormitory-2
Uploading Bay
Total Miscellaneous Area

| Area in | Area in |
| :--- | :--- |
| Sq. Mtr. | Sq. Ft. |
| $\mathbf{1 3 , 0 3 3 . 9 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 4 0 , 2 4 4 . 9 0}$ |
| $\mathbf{2 6 , 5 2 3 . 5 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 9 4 , 3 9 3 . 1 9}$ |
| $\mathbf{7 , 0 2 3 . 2 1}$ | $\mathbf{7 5 , 5 6 9 . 8 1}$ |
| $\mathbf{1 3 , 9 4 6 . 7 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 0 , 0 6 6 . 5 0}$ |
|  |  |
| $1,041.81$ | $11,209.87$ |
| $1,755.76$ | $18,891.99$ |
| 281.66 | $3,030.61$ |
| 253.52 | $2,727.86$ |
| $1,200.00$ | $12,912.00$ |
| 170.00 | 299.20 |
| $\mathbf{4 , 7 0 2 . 7 5}$ | $\mathbf{4 9 , 0 7 1 . 5 3}$ |
|  |  |
| $1,592.73$ | $17,137.73$ |
| 897.54 | $9,657.51$ |
| $2,226.99$ | $23,962.46$ |
| 593.80 | $6,389.31$ |
| $1,570.39$ | $16,897.45$ |
| 850.00 | $9,146.00$ |
| 189.14 | $2,035.14$ |
| $\mathbf{7 , 9 2 0 . 5 9}$ | $\mathbf{8 5 , 2 2 5 . 6 0}$ |

## Lecture Theaters and Class Rooms

For holding theory classes (Lectures and Tutorials) following provision has been made in the Academic Block. Seating capacity for each is given. This space is also used for conducting the theory examinations.
All lecture theaters and class rooms are net connected and equipped with projection facility. In lecture theaters audio facility has also been provided. In Lecture Theater 3 provision of video conferencing also exists.

| S. No. Description | Level - |  |  | Level 0 |  | Level 1 | Level 2 | Total <br> Seating |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Nos. | Capacity | Nos. | Capacity | Nos. | Capacity | Nos. | Capacity | Capacity |

## Teaching Labs

## Department of Electronics and Communication

The Department has the following Laboratories to support our B Tech M Tech and Research programmes:

1. Advance Communication System Laboratory.
2. Basic Electronics Laboratory
3. Communication Laboratory.
4. Digital Electronics and Signal Processing Laboratory.
5. Device and Circuit Simulation Laboratory.(Shared with the Computer Science Engineering Department)
6. Electrical science Laboratory.
7. Embedded System Laboratory
8. Microprocessor Laboratory
9. Microwave Laboratory.
10. Research/Project Laboratory.
 are 83 numbers of computers in ECE department and each computer connected with the LAN and Internet connectivity.

## Department of Computer Science \& Engineering and Information Technology



## Department of Biotechnology and Bioinformatics



 algorithm design, bioprogramming \& scripting languages, computational drug designing, development of biological databases, advanced chemoinformatics, etc.

## Department of Civil Engineering

Laboratory support to programmes of the Department is provided by the following well-equipped laboratories of the department:

1. Geotechnical Engg. Lab
2. Highway Engineering Lab
3. Concrete Lab
4. Fluid Mechanics Lab
5. Workshop Practice Lab
6. Civil Engineering Software Lab (with Projector)
7. Environmental Engineering and Chemistry Lab
8. Engineering Drawing Hall (with Projector)
9. Project Laboratory

 MATLAB. A number of major projects have been completed using above software.

## Department of Pharmacy

The Department has 5 state-of-the-art modern labs

1. Pharmaceutical Chemistry,
2. Pharmaceutics,
3. Pharmacognosy,
4. Pharmacology and
5. Pharmaceutical Biotechnology

Department also has an animal house for research purpose

## Department of Physics

A well equipped laboratory has been established for teaching B Tech courses.
 along with necessary software.

## Department of Professional Development

The Department has Language Communication Lab (Clarity Digital Multimedia Language Lab) which is presently being used for training the students to communicate in English.

## Research and Development (R \& D) Labs

The University has spent about Rs $\mathbf{3 3 8 . 0 0}$ Lakh for setting up R\&D labs in various departments. Details are as under.

| S. No. | Lab Name | Department | Investment in <br> Rs Lakh |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1. | Instrumentation Lab-1 | BT \& BI | 38.8 |
| 2. | Instrumentation Lab-2 | BT \& BI | 22.4 |
| 3. | Proteomics Lab | BT \& BI | 26.05 |
| 4. | Fermentation Lab | BT \& BI | 24.54 |
|  |  |  |  |


| 5. | Green House | BT \& BI | 42.5 |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 6. | Genomics Technologies Lab | BT \& BI | 90.10 |
| 7. | Bioinformatics Research Lab (Hardware) | BT \& BI | 4.05 |
|  | Bioinformatics Research Lab (Software) | BT \& BI | 14.73 |
|  | Total | BT \& BI | $\mathbf{2 6 3 . 1 7}$ |
| 8. | Spectroscopy Lab | Physics | 30.00 |
| 9. | Nanotechnology Lab | Physics | 20.00 |
| 10. | Electromagnetic Analysis Lab | Physics | 25.00 |
|  | Total | Physics | $\mathbf{7 5 . 0 0}$ |
|  | Total (BT \& BI + Physics) |  | $\mathbf{3 3 8 . 7 5}$ |

8.1.2 Hostel (boys and girls), transportation facility, and canteen (2)

| Hostels | No. of rooms | No. of students <br> accommodated |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Hostel for Boys: | Double 515 Single 173 | 1200 |
| Hostel for Girls: | Double 184 | Dormitories 75 <br> Single 28 |

BANK: The University campus has a branch and an ATM of Punjab National Bank.
CONVENIENCE SHOP: There is a reasonably stocked Convenience Shop, A to Z, in the Academic Block wherein items of daily use, Bakery items and ready-to-eat snacks are available.
CAFÉ: The Café serves freshly prepared snacks, tea, coffee, soft drinks, juices and Bakery items. It remains open upto 11:00 PM.
Reprographic Facility: The facility of photocopy and scanning is available in the LRC against payment

TRANSPORT: The University has the following transport facility

| Cars (4) | Scorpio (1) | Omni Van (1) Ambulance (1) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Buses (2) | Pick Up Van (1) | Tata 407 (1) |

8.1.3 Electricity, power backup, telecom facility, drinking water, and security (4)
(Instruction: Specify the details of installed capacity, quality, availability, etc.)

- UPS for computers in Academic block assured
- $2 \times 1250$ KVA DG sets with $2 \times 40,000$ lts bulk oil tanks for power backup.
- Drinking water points, with water purifiers, in every building as per need
- 24 hour water supply
- EPBX with 30 lines and 400 Connections. All Faculty members have been connected with intercom. They can also receive calls from outside directly. A BSNL Exchange is also located on Campus.
- Round the clock security for whole campus.
8.2.1 Governing body, administrative setup, and functions of various bodies (2)
 minutes of the meetings and action taken reports should be annexed.)


## Administrative Setup

|  | The Governor of Himachal Pradesh shall be the Chancellor of the University. |
| :---: | :---: |
| Chancellor | The Chancellor, in consultation with the Pro-Chancellor, shall have the right to cause an inspection to be made by such person or persons as he may direct, of the University, its buildings, libraries and equipments and of any institution run by the University, and also of the examinations, teaching and other work conducted or done by the University and to cause an enquiry to be made in the like manner in respect of any matter connected with the administration and finances of the University. |
| Pro-Chancellor | The Managing Trustee of the Trust shall by the virtue of the office be the Pro-Chancellor of the University and in the absence of the Chancellor, the Pro-Chancellor shall preside over the Convocation of the University. |
| Vice Chancellor | Head and the Chief Operating and Academic Officer of the University |
| Dean | Head of all academic and research programs in the faculty and shall be responsible for the conduct and maintenance of the standards of teaching and research in the faculty. |
| Registrar | Non-Member Secretary of the Governing Council, Executive Council and Academic Council and he shall be appointed in such manner and with such powers and duties, as may be prescribed by the Statutes. |
| Finance Officer | Finance Officer who shall be the non-Member Secretary of the Finance Committee and exercise such powers and perform such duties, as may be prescribed by the Statutes. |
| CoE | Examination related work |
| HoD | Academic and Administrative of the Department and other duties assigned by the authorities. |

## Statutory Bodies




|  |  | may for any reason feel aggrieved. <br> ix. to make rules/regulations to govern the appointment of examiners and moderators and, if necessary, to remove them, to fix their fees, emoluments and travelling and other allowances after consulting the Executive/Academic Council; <br> x. to select a common seal for the University and provide for the custody and use of the seal; <br> xi. to frame rules for institution and grant of University fellowships, studentships, medals and prizes; and <br> xii. to delegate any of its powers to the Executive Council, the Vice-Chancellor, Registrar or such other officer of the University or to a Committee appointed by it may deem fit. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Frequency of meetings : Once a year |
|  |  | Attendance : $75 \%$ and above |
| 2 | Executive Council | Membership |
|  |  | The Executive Council shall be the executive body of the University and its powers and functions, the constitution and the terms of the office of its members, other than exofficio members, shall be such as it may be prescribed by the Statutes. <br> (1) The Executive Council shall consist of the following members, namely. - <br> (i) The Vice-Chancellor of the University; Chairman. <br> (ii) Two members of Governing Council nominated Members <br> by the Pro-Chancellor; <br> (iii) One Dean of the University; and <br> Member. <br> (iv) One Academician of repute nominated by the <br> Member. <br> Pro-Chancellor <br> (2) The Registrar shall be non-Member Secretary of the Executive Council. |
|  |  | Functions and Responsibility |
|  |  | The Executive Council shall be at executive body who shall implement the decisions taken by the governing Council and report the action taken thereof to the Governing council from time to time <br> The Executive Council shall be responsible for the general management and administration of the University. |
|  |  | Frequency of meetings : at least Twice a year |
|  |  | Attendance : 80 \% and above |
| 3 | Academic Council | Membership |
|  |  | 1. The Academic Council shall consist of the following members, namely. - <br> (i) The Vice-Chancellor of the University; Chairman. <br> (ii) Two Professors other than Heads of Departments by rotation and by seniority <br> Members |



|  |  | (vii) to recommend to the Governing Council the recognition of diplomas and degrees of other Universities and institutions and to determine their equivalent diplomas and degrees of the University; <br> (viii) to appoint committees for admission to the University; <br> (ix) to publish lists of prescribed or recommended text books and to publish the syllabi of prescribed courses of study; <br> (x) to make such arrangements for the instruction and examination of persons, not being members of the University and the conditions on which students should be admitted to such examinations; <br> (xi) to recommend to the Governing /Executive Council draft Ordinances regarding examinations of the University and the conditions on which students should be admitted to such examinations; <br> (xii) to make recommendations to the Governing/ Executive Council in regard to the appointment of examiners and, if necessary, removal and the fixation of the fees, emoluments and travelling and other expenses; <br> (xiii) to make arrangements for the conduct of examinations and to fix dates for holding them; <br> (xiv) to declare the results of various University examinations, or to appointment of committees or officers to do so; <br> (xv) to make recommendations for the conferment of honorary degrees and to confer or grant degrees, academic distinctions, honors, diplomas, licenses, title and marks of honour; <br> (xvi) to make proposals to the Governing/Executive Council for the institution of fellowships, scholarships, studentships, medals and prizes and to award the same; <br> (xvii) to perform in relation to academic matters, all such duties and to do all such acts as may be necessary for the proper carrying out of the provisions of the Act, these Statutes and the Ordinances; and <br> (xviii) to promote the health and welfare of students and to constitute a Council of students Affairs consisting of such number of teachers and students as may be prescribed by the Ordinances to advise the Academic Council on matters relating to the welfare of the students. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Frequency of meetings : Twice a year |
|  |  | Attendance : 80 \% and above |
| 4 | Finance Committee | Membership |
|  |  | (1) The Finance Committee shall consist of the following members, namely: - <br> i. The Vice-Chancellor of the University $\qquad$ Chairman <br> ii. One nominee of the Pro-Chancellor $\qquad$ Member. <br> iii. One nominee of the Governing Council $\qquad$ Member. <br> iv. One Dean (by rotation) on the basis of seniority $\qquad$ .Member <br> (2) The Finance Officer of the University shall be non-member Secretary. |


|  |  | (3) Three members of the Finance Committee shall form the quorum. <br> (4) All members of the finance Committee other than ex-officio members, shall hold office for a term of three years. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Functions and Responsibility |
|  |  | The Finance Committee shall meet at least twice every year to examine account and scrutinize proposals for expenditure provided that a period not exceeding 180 days shall elapse between two consecutive meetings. <br> The annual accounts and financial estimates of the University prepared by the Finance Officer shall be laid before the Finance Committee for consideration and comments and thereafter submitted to the Governing Council for approval with or without amendments. |
|  |  | Frequency of meetings : Once a year |
|  |  | Attendance : 100 \% |
|  | Council of Institution Industry linkage | Membership |
|  |  |  There shall be a Council of Institution-Industry Linkages consisting of the <br> following members, namely:-  <br> (a) A person to be nominated by the Pro-Chancellor Chairman <br> (b) Two persons to be nominated by the Trust Members <br> (c) Vice-Chancellor of the University  <br> d. Two persons from the Industry to be nominated Member  <br> by the Pro-Chancellor   <br> (2) The Registrar shall be the Non-Member Secretary of the Council of   <br> Institution-Industry Linkages.   |
|  |  | Functions and Responsibility |
|  |  | The powers and functions of the Council of Institution-Industry Linkages shall be - <br> (i) to establish participation of laboratories of leading prestigious Information Technology/Computer companies with the University; <br> (ii) to source business for faculty/students of the University; <br> iii. to advise on the potential of the University in national and international markets; and <br> iv. to prepare and initiate Bio-informatics initiative by the University. |
|  |  | Frequency of meetings: Once a year |
|  |  | Attendance : $80 \%$ and above |

8.2.2 Defined rules, procedures, recruitment, and promotional policies, etc (2)

Policies and Procedures, Revised version published in 2012.

A copy of the relevant portions is provided to employees.
8.2.3 Decentralisation in working including delegation of financial power and grievance redressal system (3)
 union, if any.)

Defined rules, procedures, recruitment, and promotional policies, etc. are available in booklet -

Policies and Procedures, Revised version published in 2012.

A copy of the relevant portions is provided to employees.
8.2.4 Transparency and availability of correct/unambiguous information (3)
(Instruction: Availability and dissemination of information through the Internet. Information provisioning in accordance with the Right to Information Act, 2005).

The following are in position:

- Sexual Harassment Grievance Standing Committee
- A full time Lady Warden for Girls Hostel
- Anti-ragging Committee.


## Administrative Duties to Faculty

| Name of Faculty | Designation | Financial Powers |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Prof. T. S. Lamba | Dean Academic \& Research |  |
| Prof. Sunil Kumar Khah | Controller of Examinations | Examination Related Payments |
| Prof. Harinder Singh | Chairman Library Committee | Literature Purchases |
| Dr. Simran Tandon | Faculty Adviser JYC | JYC Financial Issues |
| Dr. Nitin | Warden |  |
| Dr. Rakesh K Bajaj | Warden |  |
| Dr. Amit Srivastava | Warden |  |
| Dr. P. K. Naik | Warden |  |
| Dr. Sudhir Kumar | Warden |  |
| Dr. Bhaskar Gupta | Warden |  |
| Dr. Anil Sehrawat | Warden |  |
| Ms. Neena Jindal | Warden |  |
|  | Secretary and Treasurer, JUIT |  |

Summary of current financial year's budget and the actual expenditure incurred (exclusively for the institution) for three previous financial years.
(Instruction: The preceding list of items is not exhaustive. One may add other relevant items if applicable.)

| Item | Budgeted in 2013-2014 | Expenses in 2013-2014 | Expenses in 2012-2013 | Expenses in 2011-2012 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Infrastructure built-up | 12075000 | 4008553 | 12432669 | 1679234 |
| Library | 10000000 | 4378281 | 7346120 | 39602819 |
| Laboratory equipment | 20000000 | 5912367 | 28823222 | 23720182 |
| Laboratory consumables | 5000000 | 776947 | 4411470 | 4472509 |
| Teaching and non-teaching staff salary | 200000000 | 93662551 | 195731839 | 176560726 |
| R\&D | 10000000 | 0 | 3199333 | 7755801 |
| Training and Travel | 5000000 | 1934274 | 870619 | 1958098 |
| Miscellaneous expenses for academic activities | 137925000 | 58745658 | 239839907 | 218644541 |
| Total | 400000000 | 169418631 | 492655179 | 474393910 |

8.3.1 Adequacy of budget allocation (4)

Institute Marks : 4.00
(Instruction: Here the institution needs to justify that the budget allocated over the years was adequate.)

Budget allocation under various heads was adequate for meeting the demands of institute. There was almost nil overspending.
8.3.2 Utilisation of allocated funds (5)

Institute Marks : 5.00
(Instruction: Here the institution needs to state how the budget was utilised during the last three years.)

The fund provided was almost fully utilised.
8.3.3 Availability of the audited statements on the institute's website (1)
(Instruction: Here the institution needs to state whether the audited statements are available on its website.)

## Yes, available

8.4 Programme Specific Budget Allocation, Utilisation (10)

Summary of budget for the CFY and the actual expenditure incurred in the CFYm1 and CFYm2 (exclusively for this programme in the department):

| Items | Budgeted in 2013-2014 | Actual Expenses in 2013-2014 | Budgeted in 2012-2013 | Actual Expenses in 2012-2013 | Budgeted in 2011-2012 | Actual Expenses in 2011-2012 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Laboratory equipment | 4120000 | 3895794 | 3920000 | 3834464 | 5360000 | 5308018 |
| Software | 504000 | 356758 | 480000 | 538110 | 320000 | 243180 |
| R\&D | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Laboratory consumables | 168000 | 208968 | 160000 | 230304 | 760000 | 779875 |
| Maintenance and spares | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |


| Training and Travel | 760000 | 390766 | 720000 | 813990 | 1760000 | 1942780 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Miscellaneous expenses for academic activities | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total | 5552000 | 4852286 | 5280000 | 5416868 | 8200000 | 8273853 |

### 8.4.1 Adequacy of budget allocation (5)

(Instruction: Here the institution needs to justify that the budget allocated over the years was adequate.

Budget allocation is adequate
Budget allocation is for the Department and not program specific.
Budget under head "Laboratory consumables" includes maintenance and spares also
Budget allocations for "R\&D" and "Miscellaneous expenses for academic activities" are in central University budget in 8.3 .

### 8.4.2 Utilisation of allocated funds (5)

Institute Marks : 5.00
(Instruction: Here the institution needs to state how the budget was utilised during the last three years.

Budget utilization is justified and as per allocation.

### 8.5 Library (20)

Total Marks : 20.00
8.5.1 Library space and ambience, timings and usage, availability of a qualified librarian and other staff, library automation, online access, networking, etc (5)

Institute Marks : 5.00
(Instruction: Provide information on the following items).

- Library Services
- Carpet area of library (in m2)
- Reading space (in m2)
- Number of seats in reading space
- Number of users (issue book) per day
- Number of users (reading space) per day
- Timings: During working day, weekend, and vacatio
- Number of library staff

Yes
1231 M Sq
939 M Sq.
325
50-60
70-80
working day : 08:00 AM to 12:00 Midnight weekend : 08:00 AM to 05:00 PM Vacation : 09:00 AM to 0 12

- Number of library staff with degree in Library
- Management Computerisation for search, indexing, issue/return records Bar coding used
- Fully Automated for search, indexing, issue/return records • Software Used :Web Based Library Aut
- Library services on Internet/Intranet INDEST or other similar membership Archives
$\cdot$ INDEST • INFLIBNET • DELNET • PROWESS • SPRINGER • SIAM • IEEE • ACM • EMERALD
8.5.2 Titles and volumes per title (4)

| Year | Number Of New Titles Added | Number Of New Editions Added | Number Of New Volumes Added |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $2011-2012$ | 1087 | 1066 | 1907 |
| $2012-2013$ | 786 | 762 | 1134 |
| $2013-2014$ | 185 | 178 | 421 |


| Year | No. of Technical Magazines/Periodicals | No. of Total Technical Journals subscribed |  | Scholarly Journal Titles(in originals, reprints) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | In Hardcopy | In Softcopy |  |  |
| $2013-2014$ | 12 | 55 | 748 | 339 |
| $2012-2013$ | 12 | 57 | 341 |  |
| $2011-2012$ | 12 | 59 | 342 |  |
| $2010-2011$ | 10 | 46 | 748 | 234 |

8.5.4 Digital Library (3)

- Digital Library Services
- Availability of digital library contents (If available, then mention number of courses, number of e-books, etc.

Availability of an exclusive server)

- Availability of an exclusive server
- Availability over Intranet/Internet
- Availability of exclusive space/room
- Number of users per day

Yes
Yes
Available
Available
No
50-60
8.5.5 Library expenditure on books, magazines/journals, and miscellaneous contents (5)

| Year | Expenditure (in Rs.) |  |  |  | Comments, If Any |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Book | Magazines/Journals (for hard copy subscription) | Magazines/Journals (for soft copy subscription) | Misc. Contents |  |
| 2011-2012 | 36,43,758 | 27,90,093 | 20,39,636 | 1,27,160 |  |
| 2012-2013 | 34,90,282 | 38,85,919 | 27,92,043 | 2,05,746 |  |
| 2013-2014 | 4,11,734 | 37,87,667 | 0 | 45,711 | Subscription for soft copy Journals will paid in January 2014. |

### 8.6 Internet (5)

(Instruction: The institute may report the availability of Internet in the campus and its quality of service.)

- Internet Services
- Name of the Internet provider
- Available bandwidth
- Access speed
- Availability of Internet in an exclusive lab
- Availability in most computing labs
- Availability in departments and other units
- Availability in faculty rooms
- Institute's own e-mail facility to faculty/students
- Security/privacy to e-mail/Internet users

Yes
BSNL \& Railtel (Leased Line)
1 GB (BSNL) \& 4MB (Railtel)
1 Gbps (BSNL) \& 4Mbps (Railtel)
Available in all Labs
Available in all Computing Labs
Lecture theatres, Class and Tutorial Rooms, Labs, Departments, Library, Administrative Office, Hoste Yes
Faculty and Employees
Cyberoam firewall 1000ia

## Internet Facility is

- provided through fiber and Wi-Fi
- available at all hours


### 8.7 Safety Norms and Checks (5)

8.7.1 Checks for wiring and electrical installations for leakage and earthing (1)

- Proper earthing of all the buildings and equipmen
- Circuit Breaker
8.7.2 Fire-fighting measurements: Effective safety arrangements with emergency / multiple exits and ventilation/exhausts in auditoriums and large classrooms/laboratories, fire-fighting

Institute Marks : 1.00 equipment and training, availability of water, and such other facilities (1)

- Smoke detectors provided
- Multiple and emergency exits provided
- Lecture Theatres and auditorium have multiple exits.
- Water sprinkler fire extinguishers provided in venerable areas
- 24 hour availability of water with high pressure assured.
8.7.3 Safety of civil structure (1)
- Earthquake proof design.
- Lightning conductors provided
8.7.4 Handling of hazardous chemicals and such other activities (2)
 the institution needs to show the effectiveness of the measures that it has developed to accomplish these tasks.)

| S. N. | Details of Check | Frequency |
| :---: | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | All electrical equipments and installations are checked at start of <br> semester | Half Yearly |
| 2 | All electrical \& mechanical machines are inspected at start \& mid <br> semester | Quarterly |
| 3 | Fire extinguishers are recharged after expiry date of constituents. | As per need |
| 4 | Discharge of waste chemicals is done only after pH neutralization | Weekly |
| 5 | Earthings are checked for conductivity. | Annually |
| 6 | Sewerage: Treated by the University owned and operated Sewerage <br> Treatment Plant. Water is used for irrigation purposes. | Continuous <br> process |

(Instruction: The institution needs to report the availability of the facilities discussed here.)
Qualified Counsellor employed.
Doctors are available in the University Heath Centre from 9:00 to 17:00 hours.
Lady Doctor visits Girls hostel daily between 9:00-10:00
8.8.2 Arrangement for emergency medical care (2)

Institute Marks : 2.00
(Instruction: The institution needs to report the availability of the facilities discussed here.)
Doctors (1 lady) are available at all times on campus.
Well equipped ambulance is available in case of emergencies
Liaison with Hospitals at Shimla and Solan for serious cases.
8.8.3 Availability of first-aid unit (2)
(Instruction: The institution needs to report the availability of the facilities discussed here.)
Paramedical staff resides on campus.
Staff nurse in girl's hostel at night.

## 9. Continuous Improvement (75)

This criterion essentially evaluates the improvement of the different indices that have already been discussed in earlier sections.
From 9.1 to 9.5 the assessment calculation can be done as follows
If $\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}, \mathrm{c}$ are improvements in percentage during three successive years, assessment can be calculated as
Assessment $=(b-a) /(100-m i n(b, a))+(c-b) /(100-m i n(c, b))$
9.1. Improvement in Success Index of Students (5)

From 4.1

| Items | LYG |  | LYGm1 | LYGm2 | Assessment |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Success index | 1 |  | 0.90 | 0.92 | 4.78 |

9.2. Improvement in Academic Performance Index of Students (5)

From 4.2

| Items | LYG | LYGm1 | LYGm2 | Assessment |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| API | 0.66 | 0.68 | .68 | 3.35 |

9.3. Improvement in Student-Teacher Ratio (5)

## From 5.1

| Items | CAY | CAYm1 | CAYm2 | Assessment |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| STR | 0.42 | 0.57 | 0.48 | 2.38 |

9.4. Enhancement of Faculty Qualification Index (5)

From 5.3

| Items | LYG | LYGm1 | LYGm2 | Assessment |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| FQI | 0.81 | 0.8 | 0.75 | 4.03 |

9.5. Improvement in Faculty Research Publications, R\&D Work and Consultancy Work (10)

From 5.7and 5.9

| Items | LYG | LYGm1 | LYGm2 | Assessment |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| FRP | 0.2 | 0.71 | 0.6 | 3.7 |
| FPPC | 0.08 | 0.08 | 0.07 | 0.8 |

9.6. Continuing Education (10)

In this criterion, the institution needs to specify the contributory efforts made by the faculty members by developing the course/laboratory
modules, conducting short-term courses/workshops, etc., for continuing education during the last three years.

| Module description | Any other contributory institute/ industry | Developed/ organized by | Duration | Resource persons | Target audience | Usage and citation, etc. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2013 Second International Conference on Image Information Processing (ICIIP 2013) (ICIIP -2013) | JUIT, CSE | Organized (Technical Program Co-Chair) by Dr. Nitin Rakesh | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dec 9-11, } \\ & 2013 \end{aligned}$ | Researchers and Academicians | NIL | Image <br> Processing |
| 2nd IEEE International Conference on Parallel, Distributed and Grid Computing (PDGC-2012) | JUIT, CSE | Organized (Chief Coordinator: Publicity Committee and Accommodation) by Dr. Nitin Rakesh | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dec 6-9, } \\ & 2012 \end{aligned}$ | Researchers and Academicians | 250 | Parallel, Distributed and Grid Computing |
| IEEE-International Conference on Image Information Processing | JUIT, CSE | Organized by Dr. Nitin Rakesh <br> (Publicity Chair) by Dr. Nitin Rakesh | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Nov. 3-5, } \\ & 2011 \end{aligned}$ | Researchers and Academicians | 146 | Image Processing |
| IBM Workshop on DB2 | JUIT, CSE | Organized by Dr. Nitin Rakesh | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Oct 29, } \\ & 2009 . \end{aligned}$ | IBM | 60 | DB2 |
| IBM Workshop on ECLLIEPS | JUIT, Waknaghat | Organized by Dr. Nitin Rakesh | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sep. } 13-15 \\ & 2008 . \end{aligned}$ | IBM | 90 | ECLIPSE |
| IUCEE Workshop on Effective Teaching | JUIT, HSS | Attended by Dr. Nitin Rakesh | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June 1-6, } \\ & 2012 \end{aligned}$ | Researchers and Academicians | 30 | Effective <br> Teaching <br> Abilities |
| IEEE International Conference in Signal Processing Computing and Control | JUIT, ECE | Attended by Dr. Nitin Rakesh | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mar. 15- } \\ & 17,2012 \end{aligned}$ | Researchers and Academicians | 90 | Computing |
| IUCEE Workshop on Data Mining and Parallel Computing (DMPC-2011) | JUIT, Waknaghat | Attended by Dr. Nitin Rakesh | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Aug. 2-5, } \\ & 2011 . \end{aligned}$ | Researchers and Academicians | 30 | Data Mining |


| IUCEE Workshop on Artificial Neural Networks, Pattern Recognition Computer Vision and Multimedia Information Retrieval | JUIT, CSE | Attended by Dr. Nitin Rakesh | $\begin{array}{\|l} \text { July 4-8, } \\ 2011 \end{array}$ | Researchers and Academicians | 25 | Patter Recognition |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| International Conference on Advanced Computing, Communication and Networks | Universal Association of Computers and Electronics Engineers, Chandigarh | Attended by Dr. Nitin Rakesh | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { June 2-3, } \\ & 2011 \end{aligned}$ | Researchers and <br> Academicians |  |  |
| Certified for Excellence in IBM-TGMC | IBM- Top 10 State Award in IBM-TGMC 10' | Attended by Dr. Nitin Rakesh | 2011 | IBM | Nil | Web Development |
| Summer School on Parallel and Distributed Computing (SSPDC) | JUIT, CSE | Attended by Dr. Nitin Rakesh | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 27-May- } \\ & 11 \end{aligned}$ | Researchers and <br> Academicians | 35 | Parallel, Distributed and Grid Computing |
| The Second IEEE International Conference on Computer and Automation | Singapore | Attended by Dr. Nitin Rakesh | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Feb 26-28, } \\ & 2010 \end{aligned}$ | Researchers and Academicians | 250 | Computation |
| Certified for Excellence in IBM-TGMC | IBM- Received Drona Award for achieving 5th rank in IBMTGMC 09' | Attended by Dr. Nitin Rakesh | 2010 | IBM | Nil | Web Development |
| IEEE INDICON | IEEE Gujarat Section, Ahmedabad | Attended by Dr. Nitin Rakesh | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dec. 18- } \\ & \text { 20, } 2009 . \end{aligned}$ | Researchers and Academicians | 100 | Computing |
| Faculty Development Program | INFOSYS-Chandigarh | Attended by Dr. Nitin Rakesh | 09-Oct | INFOSYS | 100 | FDP |
| National Conference on Recent Development in Computing and its Application (NCRDCA '09), | New Delhi | Attended by Dr. Nitin Rakesh | August 12-13, 2009 | Researchers and <br> Academicians | 70 | Computing |
| IEEE First International Conference on Image Information Processing | IEEE First International | Organized by Mr. Arvind Kumar | November | Researchers | 146 | Image Processing |


|  | Conference on Image Information Processing |  | $3 \text { - }$ <br> November <br> 5 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| IEEE Second International Conference on Parallel, Distributed and Grid Computing | IEEE Second <br> International Conference on Parallel, Distributed and Grid Computing | Organized by Mr. Arvind Kumar | December 6 - <br> December <br> 8 | Researchers | 250 | Parallel, Distributed and Grid Computing |
| Contemporary Developments in Mathematical Sciences and Computing | Contemporary Developments in Mathematical Sciences and Computing | Attended by Mr. Arvind Kumar | February 2- <br> February $3$ | Researchers | 50 | Mathematical Modeling, Simulation and Applications |
| 2012 2nd IEEE International Conferenence on Parallel, Distributed and Grid Computing | IEEE USA | Organized by Dr. Vivek Sehgal | December$6-8,2012$ | Researchers and Academicians | 250 | Parallel, Distributed and Grid Computing |
|  | IEEE JUIT Student Branch |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | JUIT |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | CSIR |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | DRDO |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | MIT |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Taylor and Francis |  |  |  |  |  |
| 52nd Annual International Associate for Computer Information System (IACIS) Conference, Myrtle Beach, South Carolina, USA | JUIT | Attended by Dr. Vivek Sehgal | $\begin{aligned} & \text { October 3- } \\ & 6,2012 \end{aligned}$ | Researchers and <br> Academicians | 150 | Computing |
| Data Mining and Parallel Computing | JUIT | Organized by Dr. Vivek Sehgal | $\begin{aligned} & \text { August 2- } \\ & 5,2011 \end{aligned}$ | Researchers and Academicians | 30 | Data Mining |
| 51st Annual International Associate for Computer Information System (IACIS) Conference, Mobile, Alabama, USA | JUIT | Attended by Dr. Vivek Sehgal | October 5- <br> 8, 2011 | Researchers and Academicians | 100 | Computing |
| Teaching Engineering using MATLAB and | JUIT AND IUCEE | Organized by Dr. | July 12- | Researchers | 30 | Matlab |


| Simulink |  | Vivek Sehgal | 14, 2010 | and Academicians |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| IEEE ANVIT 2009: International Workshop on Advances in Computer Networks, VLSI and Innovative Technologies, St. Petersburg, Russia | JUIT | Organized by Dr. Vivek Sehgal | 14th <br> October <br> 2009 | Researchers and Academicians | 100 | Computing |
| IEEE First International Conference on Parallel, Distributed and Grid Computing | JUIT, Waknaghat | Organized and Attended by Dr. Pooja Jain | October 28 - <br> October $30$ | Researchers | 150 | Parallel, Distributed and Grid Computing |
| Internation conference on Real time embedded systems(RTES) | Singapore | Attended by Dr. Pooja Jain | $1-2$ <br> November | Researchers | 150 | Computing |
| 5th International Conference on Information Systems and Information Technology (ICISTM 2011) | MDI Gurgaon, India | Attended by Dr. Pooja Jain | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 10-12 \\ & \text { March } \end{aligned}$ | Researchers | 100 | Computing |
| IEEE First International Conference on Image Information Processing | JUIT, Waknaghat | Organized and Attended by Dr. Pooja Jain | November 3 - <br> November 5 | Researchers | 146 | Image Processing |
| IEEE Second International Conference on Parallel, Distributed and Grid Computing | JUIT, Waknaghat | Organized and Attended by Dr. Pooja Jain | December 6 - <br> December <br> 8 | Researchers | 250 | Parallel, Distributed and Grid Computing |
| IEEE Second International Conference on Parallel, Distributed and Grid Computing | JUIT, Waknaghat | Attended by Ms. Ramanpreet Kaur | $\begin{aligned} & 06 \text { to } \\ & 08.12 .201 \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ | Researchers | 250 | Parallel, Distributed and Grid Computing |
| 32nd Asia Pacific Advanced Network Meet | ERNET <br> India,Cisco,hp,Juniper <br> Networks,. India <br> Habitat Center ,New <br> Delhi | Attended by Ms. Ramanpreet Kaur | $\begin{aligned} & 22^{\text {nd }} \text { to } \\ & 26^{\text {th }} \\ & \text { August, } \end{aligned}$ | NIT,Jalandhar | 23 | C++ |


| ISTE Workshop on Effective/Teaching \& Learning | IIT Bomabay | Attended by Ms. Ramanpreet Kaur | 28th june to 10th july | NIT,Jalandhar | 35 | Mobile computing |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Winter school on Recent Advances in Mobile Computing and Communication | MHRD,New Delhi. NIT Jalndhar | Attended by Ms. Ramanpreet Kaur | 22nd december to 2nd jan 2009 | NIT,Jalandhar | 50 | Networks |
| Seminar on Technological Issues in Computing \& Communication | MHRD,New Delhi. NIT Jalandhar | Attended by Ms. Ramanpreet Kaur | 5th Oct | Researchers |  | Grid computing |
| Wireless Commnications | NITTTR Chandigarh | Attended by Ravindra Ahuja | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 20-24 Oct, } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Researchers | 50 | Wireless Sensor Systems |
| Wireless Communication and Mobile Computing | GGSIP University, Delhi | Attended by Ravindra Ahuja | $\begin{aligned} & 22 \text { Dec.- } \\ & 02 \text { Jan } \end{aligned}$ | Researchers | 50 | Wireless Communicati on |
| Network Programming and Security | CDAC Hyderabad | Attended by Ravindra Ahuja | 05-16 Jan | Researchers | 50 | Computing |
| Macro Human Culture \& Social Sciences | IIT Roorkee | Attended by Ravindra Ahuja | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 07-11 \\ & \text { June } \end{aligned}$ | Researchers | 100 | Computing |
| Culture and Communication | IIT Roorkee | Attended by Ravindra Ahuja | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 21-25 \\ & \text { June } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Researchers | 100 | Computing |
| Introduction to Graph and Geometric Algorithms | Thapar University | Attended by Ravindra Ahuja | 28-30 <br> October | Researchers | 100 | Computing |
| National Conference on Emerging Trends in Intelligent Computing and | GCET, Greater Noida | Organized by Ravindra Ahuja | $\begin{aligned} & 13-14 \\ & \text { April } \end{aligned}$ | Researchers | 50 | Computing |
| Communication (EICC-2012)" |  |  |  | Researchers |  |  |
| PDGC | JUIT, Waknaghat | Organized by Ravindra Ahuja | 06-08 <br> December | Researchers | 250 | Parallel, Distributed and Grid Computing |

### 9.7. New Facility Created (15)

Specify new facilities created during the last three years for strengthening the curriculum and/or meeting the POs:

1. CUDA parallel programming lab has been created with the help of NVDIA to facilitate the student, researchers and academic staff.
2. Computing facilities has been improved in existing labs. in terms of RAM and processor speed.
3. A separate project lab has been created for final year students and researchers.
9.8. Overall Improvements since last accreditation, if any, otherwise, since the commencement of the programme (20)

Specify the overall improvement:

| Factor/ <br> Criteria | Improvement <br> Brought In | Contributed By | Courses Affected |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Modified <br> Curriculum | Course Work <br> Review / Modified | Department | Core and Electives |
| Industry <br> relevant <br> courses | Courses: <br> $\bullet$ <br> SOA <br> Software <br> Agents <br> Cloud <br> Computing | Department | Electives |

\(\left.$$
\begin{array}{|l|l|l|l|}\hline \begin{array}{l}\text { Project based } \\
\text { learning }\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { Experiments and } \\
\text { Course specific } \\
\text { projects }\end{array} & \text { Department } & \text { Core and Electives } \\
\hline \begin{array}{l}\text { Project Lab } \\
\text { setup / } \\
\text { Upgradation }\end{array} & \text { Lab upgradation } & \text { Department } & \begin{array}{l}\text { Created a CUDA } \\
\text { NVIDIA Lab } \\
\text { Improved Computing } \\
\text { facilities with RAM / } \\
\text { Processor upgradation }\end{array} \\
\hline \begin{array}{l}\text { Conducted } \\
\text { International } \\
\text { Conferences }\end{array} & \text { Department } & \begin{array}{l}\text { PO-1, PO-2, PO-3, PO- } \\
\text { 4, PO-5, PO-6,PO-7, } \\
\text { PO-8, PO-9 }\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { PDGC-2010. } \\
\text { ICIIP-2011 } \\
\text { PDGC-2012 }\end{array}
$$ <br>
ICIIP-2013 <br>

PDGC-2014(scheduled)\end{array}\right]\)| Paculty |
| :--- |

